

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122846
Title of the Manuscript:	Nano Management Techniques for Soil Reclamation
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nanotechnology, as an emerging technology, has demonstrated its potential in food production and combating numerous developing agricultural concerns; scientists are striving to address these issues with nanotechnology. Some of the rising issues include: (1) food security for population increases, (2) reduced production on cultivable land, (3) low agriculture input efficiency, (4) large uncultivable land, (5) low shelf life of food products, (6) post-harvest losses of food products, and (7) increasing pest attacks and plant diseases. This study appealed to me since it outlines some essential nano strategies for improving agricultural soil qualities, which boosts agricultural yield while lowering costs as compared to traditional techniques.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically correct. It was written in a correct and consistent scientific style. The language is clear and simple. There are a few errors that can be corrected. Every section was connected and well-organized.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It requires recent references, and I have suggested many of them in reviewed manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eman Hamdy Abd El-Azeiz
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt