

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124766
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Organic Nutrient Sources on Growth, Seed Yield and Seed Quality of Radish (<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.) cv. Chinese Pink
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights the potential of organic nutrient sources like vermicompost and Jeevamrit in improving growth, yield, and seed quality of radish, which is valuable for sustainable agriculture and organic farming systems. The study contributes to the growing body of research on alternative nutrient management strategies, offering practical solutions for enhancing crop productivity without relying on synthetic inputs.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>That's fine.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is good enough.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound, with well-defined objectives and appropriate experimental design. The methodology is clearly described, allowing for reproducibility, and the statistical analyses used to evaluate the data are appropriate for the study. Additionally, the findings are consistent with existing literature, supporting the credibility of the results. However, a more in-depth discussion on the potential mechanisms behind the observed effects of organic nutrients on seed quality could further strengthen the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Okay</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, it is okay to publish.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazmin Akter
Department, University & Country	Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Bangladesh