

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_124566 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF SALINITY PREVENTION STRUCTURE-BARDASAGAR SCHEME IN PORBANDAR DISTRICT OF GUJARAT |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author must have to refer more research papers. Less numbers of Papers referred. 2. If data presented in graphical format then it will be more effective. 3. Last 15 years means author wants to add the years from which year to which year clearly because every year yields & area under cultivation changes. 4. The equation in methodology need to be in Equation format. Please correct that equation. 5. What are the other methods uses for this type of data analysis? What is the reason to use Weighted Average for Data analysis? 6. Conclusion needs to be revised. Add results in more details & give clear explanation. | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name: | Vishal Pramod Kushe |
| Department, University & Country | Amity University, India |