

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124566
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF SALINITY PREVENTION STRUCTURE-BARDASAGAR SCHEME IN PORBANDAR DISTRICT OF GUJARAT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the agricultural community and have value for government focus to improve governance and support for farmers through advanced techniques. I appreciate this article because our country's economy 60 to 70% based on agriculture. The present research article explains the results of scheme which useful to improve farming.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In Table no. 2. I cannot understand whether the given data is about formers or crops. There are no unit to explain the area and yield production (i.e. kg or ton) In table 3,4,5,6.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is scientifically sound because it presents the outcomes of scheme that offering practical solutions to improve farming efficiency by removing the salinity near the costal areas. The barda sagar scheme has positive impact on a significant portion of our population, many of whom rely on agriculture for their livelihoods.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Kindly give minor introduction about how Bardas sagar scheme works.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aqsa Ilyas
Department, University & Country	University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Lahore, Pakistan