

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_124506
Title of the Manuscript:	Abducens Nerve Palsy as the Only Presenting Symptom for Solitary Cerebellar Tuberculoma in an Immunocompetent Young Adult
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The tuberculosis is very common in India. Central Nervous Tuberculosis (CNS TB) is one of the differential diagnoses of the cystic lesions with peripheral contrast enhancement with associated edema. MRI brain with contrast and MR spectroscopy is useful in the diagnosis of CNS tuberculomas . Here, the author had strong suspicion of tuberculomas and antituberculous therapy (ATT) would have been enough to treat the patient. There was no need of surgery.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It can be misleading and other neurosurgeons may read this paper and may resort to surgical intervention in tuberculomas or tubercular abscesses.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The first line of the abstract is wrong or some word is missing. Tuberculosis is great? I think this can be deleted and sentence can start as " Central nervous system tuberculoma may present (word which can also be deleted)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes This is very important topic and generates lot of interest in neurologists, physicians and neurosurgeons.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	I have written a paper " Neuroradiology is a new gold standard for the management of central nervous system tuberculosis" Dr VKS Gautam in international journal of medicine which can easily be downloaded and free to download. I wanted to highlight that culture of the mycobacterium or demonstration of the bacteria on AFB stain or microscopy should not be considered gold standard and patients should not be subjected for surgery as CNS TB is usually paucibacillary. Resonse to Empirical ATT including Streptomycin (SHRZE) alg with steroid is given and clinical response and neuroradiology is sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very nicely written	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Kumar Singh Gautam
Department, University & Country	Institute of Human Behaviour & Allied Sciences (IHBAS), India