

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_124161
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Challenges in Managing School Funds for Heads of Secondary Schools in Kilolo District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper analyzes the challenges of school fund management for secondary school principals in Kilolo District, Tanzania. Based on the results of this analysis, the paper provides some implications for school principals to manage school financial resources. Therefore, the paper has provided new and important information to support the publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is too long, so the authors should shorten it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The findings of this study will have policy implications in the management of funds by principals in schools in Tanzania.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper needs proofreading.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Dear Authors, it is my honor to review the manuscript. I hope the following comments help you revise the manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author needs to shorten the abstract. - The author needs to add the structure of this manuscript at the end of Section 1. - Contributions of the paper to the literature should be highlighted in Section 1. - The author needs to supplement the background theory on school fund management in Section 2. - The author should include the survey questionnaire in the appendix. - The author needs to describe the characteristics of the respondents in the survey sample, such as age, gender, education level, management experience, etc,... in Section 4. <p>I hope my comments are helpful for the authors to develop the manuscript. Good luck.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Van Diep
Department, University & Country	Ho Chi Minh City Open University, Vietnam