

ReviewForm3

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| ManuscriptNumber: | Ms_JSRR_123134 |
| Title ofthe Manuscript: | MONTHLY,SEASONAL AND ANNUAL CHANGESIN TEMPERATUREIN KARNATAKA STATEIN INDIA |
| Type ofthe Article | |

PART1:Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer'scomment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correctthe manuscriptand highlightthatpart inthe manuscript.Itis mandatorythat authors should writehis/herfeedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please writea few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community.Why do youlike (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article deals with animportant and necessarytopic to be discussed bythe scientific community. It would be beneficialto conducta thorough review of the article before proceeding with the writing and drafting process. Itis recommendedthatthis be done bya native English translator to ensure accuracy and quality. Perhaps the results could be explained and presentedin a moreintelligibleway, such asin graphs | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, I believe the title is suitable. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some pointsin this section? Please write your suggestions here. | I believe the abstract should present the objective and main results,as wellas the conclusion.It might be helpful to reviewthe keywords as well, asthey are quite similarthosein thetitle. Additionally, the term "mitigate" might not be the most suitable forthis particular research. | |
| Are subsectionsand structure of the manuscript appropriate? | I believe the abstract should present the objective and main results,as wellas the conclusion.It might be helpful to reviewthe keywords as well, asthey are quite similarthosein thetitle. Additionally, theterm "mitigate" might not bethe most suitable forthis particular research. | |
| Please writea few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript.Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | I would like to kindly reiterate thatit would be greatly beneficialfor the entire text to be reviewed and corrected by an English language specialist,preferably a native translator.There area few areas that could benefit from some attention to ensureclarity and accuracy. Additionally,theintroduction includesinformation from varioussources that could be more effectively presented ifcombined and organized according to the proposal of this research. It would be beneficialto explicitlypresent the objective of the research in the lastparagraph. I believe thistopic would benefit fromfurther development. The methodology is written in a waythat makesit seemlike it's a discussion, soit might be helpful to reworkit, particularly thelast paragraph. I believe the topic results and discussion could beimproved with some revisions.Perhaps the most significantissue isthelack of discussion on the resultsfound. Additionally,the resultsare presentedin a straightforward manner, andthereis no discussion of what they represent or why they have these values. In addition, the tablesmay not be the most engaging format for presentingthe work, andit might be beneficialto consider alternative options, such as graphs, maps, charts, and so on. Finally,the conclusion could beimproved by reworking it to avoid repetition of results and to addressissues not coveredin the research, such as monsoons and agricultural production. Perhapsit would be beneficialto revisit the topic and present the validation ofthe hypothesis,if feasible. It may be beneficialto reconsider the recommendations and suggestions for future | |

ReviewForm3

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p> | <p>The text would benefit from some revisions, and the references currently included in the manuscript could be improved by providing the electronic address or DOI from which they were taken. Additionally, it should be noted that the names of the journals appear to alternate between abbreviations and full names. Perhaps it would be beneficial to standardize them.</p> | |
|---|---|--|

ReviewForm3

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | I believe the text would benefit from some revisions. It might also be helpful to provide the electronic address or DOI from which the references were taken, as this could improve them. It might also be helpful to note that the names of the journals seem to alternate between abbreviations and full names. It might be helpful to consider standardizing them according to the journal's standards. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Raimundo Nonato Colares Camargo Júnior |
| Department, University & Country | Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Pará, Brazil |