

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_124477
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorative effect of Curcuma longa ethanolic extract on the histology, hepatic glycogen content and some biochemical parameters of the liver in streptozotocin-induced hyperglycemic rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is an important research result for the scientific community and deserves to be published. 2. This manuscript provides important information on the effect of Curcuma longa extract as an anti-diabetic. 3. The effect of Curcuma longa extract is presented in terms of several test parameters relevant to impaired glucose metabolism such as liver histology, liver glycogen levels and other liver function parameters. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Already compliant	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite good, describing the research that has been done. However, the abstract is too long (more than 300 words) so it needs to be slightly summarised. As per the writing rules, there is no need for an introduction section in the abstract. The place and duration of the study were not found	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is necessary to pay attention to the writing rules according to the journal template. Section and sub-section numbering not found	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Researchers have conducted preclinical testing to prove the effect of Curcuma longa extract as an anti-diabetic. b. Researchers measured several parameters related to the regulation of glucose metabolism in the liver and also determined liver function parameters c. researchers have conducted acute toxicity testing to prove the safety of the extract used d. The tests carried out refer to several scientific literature/references 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very adequate, the author uses 81 references and 43 of them are recent references (last 10 years).	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good enough, with a little improvement, this manuscript is suitable for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Puspa Sari Dewi Solihah
Department, University & Country	Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, Indonesia