

Dissection of Genetic Parameters for Enhancing Yield and Yield Components in Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to evaluate the genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance of 14 quantitative traits in 55 mustard (*Brassica juncea*) genotypes. The traits assessed included days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, primary branches per plant, siliqua per plant, siliqua length, seeds per siliqua, seed yield per plant, 1000-seed weight, chlorophyll content, relative water content, membrane stability index, proline content, and oil content. Significant genetic variation was observed for all traits. Moderate phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were noted for siliqua per plant and proline content. Both traits exhibited high heritability coupled with high genetic advance, indicating that additive gene action predominates. This suggests that selection for these traits could lead to substantial genetic gains in mustard breeding programs. In contrast, traits like days to 50% flowering and oil content showed lower genetic advance, suggesting limited scope for selection. The findings highlight the importance of focusing on traits with high variability and heritability for improving yield and stress tolerance in mustard.

Key words: Genetic variability, Heritability, Additive gene action, seed yield

INTRODUCTION

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) is a **key** oilseed crop, widely cultivated in the Rabi season **across** India. Oilseeds play a vital role in the Indian economy, as highlighted by the Yellow Revolution. Despite accounting for 21.10% of the world's rapeseed-mustard area, India contributes only 12.60% of global production (Choudhary *et al.*, 2023), suggesting a gap between cultivated area and productivity. Identifying high-yielding genotypes remains a challenge. As part of the Brassicaceae family, *B. juncea* is a natural amphidiploid species derived from *B. rapa* and *B. nigra* (Nagaharu, 1935; Prakash *et al.*, 2009). Despite its adaptability to various agro-climatic conditions, improving yield remains a major challenge due to both biotic and abiotic stresses (Rai *et al.*, 2020; Singh *et al.*, 2018).

The genetic improvement of yield in Indian mustard is a critical objective for plant breeders. Yield and its related traits are governed by complex interactions between genetic factors and the environment (Falconer & Mackay, 1996). Dissecting the genetic parameters associated with

Comment [pk1]: Add major word instead of key

Comment [pk2]: Include major growing states of india

Comment [pk3]: Rewrite it not sounding well

yield can provide crucial insights into the nature of variability and inheritance, guiding the selection of superior genotypes (Dudley & Moll, 1969). For instance, genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance are essential metrics that indicate the potential for genetic gain through selection (Larik *et al.*, 2000; Tazeen *et al.*, 2009). Recent studies have highlighted the importance of dissecting genetic parameters to improve the yield of Indian mustard (Khan *et al.*, 2018). By understanding the genetic architecture of yield and related traits, breeders can make more informed decisions regarding selection and hybridization (Verma *et al.*, 2021; Pandey *et al.*, 2020).

Comment [pk4]: Use and instead symbol

In light of these developments, the present study aims to dissect the genetic parameters influencing yield and its attributing components in Indian mustard. By evaluating a diverse set of 55 genotypes, this research seeks to provide insights into the genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance of key yield-related traits. The findings from this study will contribute to the ongoing efforts to develop high-yielding, stress-tolerant varieties of Indian mustard, ensuring enhanced productivity in mustard cultivation.

Comment [pk5]: Replace with important yield and yield contributing traits in Indian mustard.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted during the *Rabi* season of 2022-23 at the Instructional Farm, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, to evaluate fifty-five genotypes of Indian mustard for yield and yield-related traits. The experiment was designed using a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications, with each genotype planted at a spacing of 45×20 cm. To ensure optimal crop growth, recommended agronomic practices were meticulously followed, including appropriate fertilization, irrigation, and necessary plant protection measures. The data was collected on fourteen yield and yield contributing characters *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, siliqua per plant, siliqua length (cm), seeds per siliqua, 1000- seed weight (g), seed yield per plant (g), chlorophyll content in leaves at siliqua stage (SPAD value), relative water content at siliqua stage (%), membrane stability index at siliqua stage (%), proline content (mg/g) and oil content (%). Among different genotypes, five plants were randomly selected and tagged for taking observations except days to 50% flowering, days to maturity and the mean value was calculated for analysis on three replications.

Comment [pk6]: Add source of genotypes

Comment [pk7]: Include number of sample from each replication

Comment [pk8]: Include observation methods like plot wise

Statistical significance among the genotypes was assessed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), employing standard statistical methods. Genotypic and phenotypic variances were computed

according to the methodology outlined by Johnson *et al.* (1955), while the genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation (GCV and PCV) were estimated based on Burton's (1952) formula. Heritability in the broad sense and genetic advance as a percentage of the mean were determined following the approaches described by Allard (1960) and Singh and Chaudhary (1985). Data analysis was conducted using the meta-R software package, allowing for precise calculations of variance components, coefficients of variation, heritability estimates, and genetic advance.

Comment [pk9]: Remove S

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance

The ANOVA results revealed significant variations for all traits under study (Table 1). The presence of these variations provides a solid foundation for initiating the selection process. According to Falconer and Mackay (1996), the presence of significant genetic variance among traits indicates the potential for effective selection, ultimately facilitating the identification of superior genotypes.

Comment [pk10]: Add some latest references regarding significant variations in Indian mustard

Per se performance and range

The evaluation of fifty-five genotypes of Indian mustard revealed significant variability in various agronomic traits (Table 2), which is crucial for breeding programs. Days to 50% flowering ranged from 42 to 51 days, with a mean of 47 days, while days to maturity varied from 113 to 129 days, averaging 120 days (Singh *et al.*, 2011; Gupta *et al.*, 2019). Plant height showed considerable dispersion, with measurements ranging from 118.27 to 173.67 cm and an average of 142.20 cm. The number of primary branches averaged 3.87, varying between 3 and 4.60. The number of siliqua per plant was recorded between 146.33 and 273, with an average of 201.64, while siliqua length ranged from 4.75 to 6.03 cm, averaging 5.41 cm (Patel *et al.*, 2019). Seeds per siliqua varied from 10.57 to 13.53, with a mean of 12.19. The 1000-seed weight averaged 3.70 g, varying from 3.17 to 4.13 g, and seed yield per plant had an average performance of 45.43 g, ranging from 37.80 g to 51.07 g. Additionally, chlorophyll content measured in SPAD units varied from 37.80 to 51.07, averaging 45.43. The membrane stability index ranged from 59.67 to 74.67%, with an average of 68.69%, while proline content was between 5.55 and 9.18 mg/g, averaging 7.85 mg/g. Oil content ranged from 32.23% to 39.40%, averaging 36.31% (Kumar *et al.*, 2020; Sharma *et al.*, 2021). The observed variability in these traits supports the selection process in breeding programs, as previous studies have highlighted the importance of

Comment [pk11]: Rewrite it like average number of days to maturity is 120 days

Comment [pk12]: Make it 3.00

Comment [pk13]: Write it 273.00

these traits in improving yield and adaptability in mustard (Bhan *et al.*, 2018; Thakur *et al.*, 2022).

Coefficient of Variation

The phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation (PCV and GCV) are vital for assessing the heritable and non-heritable components of variability among the genotypes of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). The observed disparity between these coefficients indicates significant environmental influences on the traits measured, with PCV values consistently exceeding GCV values for all biometric features. This finding aligns with earlier studies that emphasize the importance of environmental factors in trait expression (Kumar *et al.*, 2013; Rout *et al.*, 2019).

As detailed in Table 2 and Figure 1, moderate PCV values were recorded for several key traits, including siliqua per plant (16.47%), proline content (13.85%), primary branches per plant (11.44%) and plant height (11.26%). These traits exhibited sufficient variability, suggesting potential for selection in breeding programs aimed at enhancing yield and resilience. Conversely, lower PCV values were noted for traits such as relative water content at the siliqua stage (4.72%), days to maturity (4.91%), oil content (5.67%), membrane stability index at the siliqua stage (6.01%), days to 50% flowering (6.12%), siliqua length (7.12%), seeds per siliqua (7.71%), seed yield per plant (7.96%), chlorophyll content in leaves at the siliqua stage (7.98%), and 1000-seed weight (7.98%). These lower values suggest that these traits may be less responsive to environmental variations and more stable across different conditions, as supported by the findings of Akabari *et al.* (2015) and Trivedi *et al.* (2016). The implications of these results indicate that breeding efforts should focus on traits with higher PCV to maximize genetic gain while also considering the environmental context (Devi, 2018; Awasthi, 2020).

Moderate genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) were observed for several key traits in the study. Specifically, siliqua per plant demonstrated a GCV of 15.62%, while proline content showed a GCV of 12.78%. These moderate GCV values indicate significant potential for improvement through selective breeding (Kumar *et al.*, 2013). In contrast, lower GCV values were recorded for traits such as relative water content at the siliqua stage (3.64%), days to maturity (4.03%) and the membrane stability index at the siliqua stage (4.45%). Other traits, including oil content (4.58%), days to 50% flowering (5.11%), siliqua length (5.54%), seeds per siliqua (5.95%), and 1000-seed weight (6.85%), exhibited low GCV values, suggesting limited genetic variability for these traits (Rout *et al.*, 2019; Akabari *et al.*, 2015). Seed yield per plant

Comment [pk14]: Add reference of Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon, they gave the range of GCV and PCV

Comment [pk15]: Use other synonym

Comment [pk16]: Value is not matching with table value

and chlorophyll content in leaves at the siliqua stage presented GCV of 6.94%, while plant height and primary branches per plant recorded GCV of 9.23% and 9.55%, respectively (Devi, 2018; Trivedi *et al.*, 2016). These findings underscore the importance of selecting traits with higher GCV for effective breeding strategies aimed at improving yield and resilience in Indian mustard.

Heritability (h^2) and Genetic Advance as a Percentage of Mean (GAM)

Estimates of heritability provide essential insights into inherited traits and the degree of similarity between parents and their offspring. High heritability is crucial for any selection program, ensuring that selected traits exhibit similar phenotypic expressions in progeny (Gupta *et al.*, 2019). However, heritability alone is not sufficient for genetic improvement; it must be accompanied by a significant degree of genetic advance, reflecting how effectively a trait can be enhanced through appropriate selection (Singh & Chaudhary, 2011). Therefore, a combination of high heritability and strong genetic advance as a percentage of the mean is necessary for effective selection.

In this study, values for heritability and genetic advancement are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 2, highlighting the potential for successful breeding strategies.

The highest heritability estimates were recorded for siliqua per plant (89.95%) and proline content (85.15%), indicating that these traits are predominantly influenced by genetic factors rather than environmental ones. This means they can be reliably selected in breeding programs, ensuring the development of new mustard varieties with improved yield and resilience to environmental stresses (Table 2 and Figure 2). These findings are consistent with previous studies by Akabari *et al.* (2015) and Roy *et al.* (2018), who also reported high heritability for similar traits.

Moderate heritability was observed for seed yield per plant and chlorophyll content in leaves at the siliqua stage (75.98%), days to 50% flowering (69.52%), primary branches per plant (69.64%), days to maturity (67.31%), plant height (67.08%), siliqua length (60.48%), and oil content (65.21%). In contrast, low to moderate heritability was recorded for the membrane stability index at the siliqua stage (54.89%), seeds per siliqua (59.42%), and relative water content at the siliqua stage (59.50%). These results are further supported by findings from Bind *et al.* (2014), Devi *et al.* (2018), and Trivedi *et al.* (2016), who emphasized the significance of heritability in breeding programs.

Comment [pk17]: Add range of heritability, low, medium and high and their reference

Comment [pk18]: These all parameter will come under high heritability categories as categories are classified by Johnson *et al.* (1995).

Comment [pk19]: Classify it according Johnson *et al.* (1995)

The expected genetic advance as a percentage of the mean was notably high for siliqua per plant (30.52%) and proline content (24.28%). These results align with findings from Singh *et al.* (2011), Gupta *et al.* (2019), Patel *et al.* (2019), Rout *et al.* (2019), and Awasthi (2020), who also reported significant genetic advances for these traits. Moderate genetic advance percentages were observed for primary branches per plant (16.42%), plant height (15.57%), seed yield per plant, and chlorophyll content in leaves at the siliqua stage (12.46%). Additionally, the 1000-seed weight exhibited a genetic advance of 12.10%. Conversely, low genetic advance percentages were recorded for days to 50% flowering (8.77%), days to maturity (6.81%), siliqua length (8.87%), seeds per siliqua (9.44%), relative water content at the siliqua stage (5.78%), membrane stability index at the siliqua stage (6.79%) and oil content (7.62%).

Broad heritability and genetic advance as a percentage of the mean serve as crucial selection criteria, providing a transmissibility index for various traits and indicating the effectiveness of the selection process in enhancing individual characteristics. In the current study, siliqua per plant and proline content exhibited high heritability estimates alongside high genetic advances, suggesting that these traits are predominantly influenced by additive gene action and possess significant selective value. As these traits contribute to overall seed yield and stress tolerance (particularly proline content related to drought), breeders can prioritize them to develop mustard varieties with enhanced yield potential and adaptability to stressful conditions. Similar findings have been documented by Lodhi *et al.* (2014) and Roy (2018), further supporting the notion that traits with high heritability and genetic advance are ideal candidates for targeted selection in crop improvement initiatives.

The study's findings on traits like proline content and membrane stability index provide direct pathways for improving stress tolerance. High proline content is associated with drought tolerance, while a stable membrane stability index reflects the plant's resilience under heat and moisture stress. Breeding for these traits can lead to mustard varieties capable of thriving in stressful environments, which is critical for maintaining yield stability in areas prone to water scarcity or temperature extremes.

The significant variability in traits such as chlorophyll content and proline content highlight the potential for integrating physiological and biochemical traits into breeding programs. By selecting for traits that enhance photosynthetic efficiency (*e.g.*, high chlorophyll content) and stress resilience (*e.g.*, proline), breeders can develop mustard varieties that are not

Comment [pk20]: Add category of low , medium and high genetic advance with reference

only high-yielding but also better equipped to withstand environmental stresses like drought and high temperatures.

Table 1 Analysis of variance for various characters of Indian mustard

S.No.	Source of variation/Characters	Replication	Treatment (Genotypes)	Error
	Degrees of freedom	2	54	108
1	Days to 50% flowering	1.04	19.99**	2.55
2	Days to maturity	1.50	81.55**	11.36
3	Plant height (cm)	26.82	600.76**	84.44
4	Primary branches per plant	0.11	0.47**	0.06
5	Silique per plant	138.30	3087.62**	110.81
6	Silique length (cm)	0.11	0.33**	0.06
7	Seeds per silique	0.63	1.93**	0.36
8	1000-seed weight (g)	0.05	0.22**	0.02
9	Seed yield per plant (g)	1.73	1780.56**	339.57
10	Chlorophyll content (SPAD value)	1.73	32.97**	3.14
11	Relative water content (%)	0.04	32.58**	6.03
12	Membrane stability index (%)	1.65	35.71**	7.68
13	Proline content (mg/g)	0.32	3.19**	0.18
14	Oil content (%)	0.16	9.78**	1.48

Comment [pk21]: Add significance level below table

Table 2 Genetic variability parameters for yield and its constituent traits of Indian mustard

S.No.	Characters	Mean	Range	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h ² (BS) (%)	GAM
1	Days to 50% flowering	47	42-51	5.11	6.12	69.52	8.77
2	Days to maturity	120	113-129	4.03	4.91	67.31	6.81
3	Plant height (cm)	142.20	118.27-173.67	9.23	11.26	67.08	15.57
4	Primary branches per plant	3.87	3.00-4.60	9.55	11.44	69.64	16.42
5	Silique per plant	201.64	146.33-273.00	15.62	16.47	89.95	30.52
6	Silique length (cm)	5.41	4.75-6.03	5.54	7.12	60.48	8.87
7	Seeds per silique	12.19	10.57-13.53	5.95	7.71	59.42	9.44
8	1000-seed weight (g)	3.70	3.17-4.13	6.85	7.98	73.65	12.10
9	Seed yield per plant (g)	45.43	37.80-51.07	6.94	7.96	75.98	12.46
10	Chlorophyll content (SPAD value)	45.43	37.80-51.07	6.94	7.96	75.98	12.46
11	Relative water content (%)	81.72	73.00-87.00	3.64	4.72	59.50	5.78
12	Membrane stability index (%)	68.69	59.67-74.67	4.45	6.01	54.89	6.79
13	Proline content (mg/g)	7.85	5.55-9.18	12.78	13.85	85.15	24.28
14	Oil content (%)	36.31	32.23-39.40	4.58	5.67	65.21	7.62

Comment [pk22]: Use other synonym, this not sound well

Comment [pk23]: Parameter 9 and 10 values are same, cross check




Fig. 1 Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation for fourteen characters in Indian mustard genotypes




Fig. 2 Heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean for fourteen characters in Indian mustard genotypes

CONCLUSION

All of the traits included in this investigation's analysis of variance were found to have significant results, indicating that there is enough genetic variation for each trait. For the majority of the traits, high heritability (bs) was shown to be correlated with the highest genetic advancement. In order to maximize the crop's genetic yield potential, high yielding breeding traits may be chosen based on traits that have been found to have higher heritability and high genetic advance as a percentage of mean. A systematic hybridization program may also be constructed, considering traits with higher heritability and high genetic progress as a percentage of mean for the selection of transgressive segregants in the segregating generations. The future yield, productivity, and higher breeding value of the mustard will all be greatly enhanced by the segregants.

Comment [pk24]: It should be written as investigation not investigation's. Comma should be there after this word.

Comment [pk25]: Showed significant result.

Comment [pk26]: Reframe this sentence.

Comment [pk27]: Delete extra space

Comment [pk28]: Try to rewrite conclusion more clearly with better inferences related to your parameters.

References

- Akabari, VR and Niranjana M 2015. Genetic variability and trait association studies in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). International Journal of Agriculture Science; 11: 35-39.
- Allard, R.W. 1960. Principles of Plant Breeding. JohnWiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
- Awasthi D, Vimlesh DK and Kandalkar VS 2020. Evaluation of heritability and genetic advance for morphological traits of Indian mustard germplasm. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science; 39: 39-47.
- Bhan S, Kumar P and Gupta R 2018. Enhancing productivity of Indian mustard through improved agronomic practices. Indian Journal of Agronomy; 63(2), 203-209.
- Bind D, Singh D and Dwivedi VK 2014. Genetic variability and character association in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). Agricultural Science Digest; 34: 183-188.
- Burton GW 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc 6th International Grassland Congress; 1: 227-283.
- Choudhary RL, Jat RS, Singh HV, Dotaniya ML, Meena, MK, Meena VD and Rai PK 2023. Effect of superabsorbent polymer and plant bio-regulators on growth, yield and water productivity of Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) under different soil moisture regimes. Journal of Oilseed Brassica; 14: 11-19.

Comment [pk29]: Add space

Devi B 2018. Correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) in agro-climatic conditions of Jhansi (UP). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry; 7: 1678-1681.

Comment [pk30]: Of and and make normal not italic

Dudly JW and Moll RH 1969. Interpretation and use of estimates of heritability and genetic variance in plant breeding. Crop Science; 9: 257-267.

Falconer DS and Mackay TFC 1996. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics (4th ed.). Pearson Education.

Comment [pk31]: Superscript it

Gupta M, Roy HS and Bhadauria SS 2019. Genetic variability analysis in F2/F3 population derived through inter-specific hybridization in oilseed Brassica. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding; 10: 1275-1282.

Johnson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock RE 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agronomy Journal; 47: 314-318.

Khan MA, Hussain F, Singh M and Raza A 2018. Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance for yield and yield-attributing traits in Indian mustard. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 88(6), 904-910.

Kumar P, Verma S and Singh A 2020. Role of genetic diversity in improving yield potential of Indian mustard. Journal of Agricultural Sciences; 12(4), 310-320.

Kumar S, Yadav P and Rana R 2013. Advances in mustard breeding: Recent developments and future prospects. International Journal of Oilseed Research; 7(1), 56-63.

Larik AS, Malik SI, Kakar AA and Naz MA 2000. Assessment of heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield components in *Gossypium hirsutum*. Sci Khyber; 13: 39-44.

Lodhi B, Thakral NK, Avtar R and Singh A. 2014. Genetic variability, association and path analysis in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). Journal of Oilseed Brassica; 5: 26-31.

Nagaharu U 1935. Genome analysis in Brassica with special reference to the experimental formation of Brassica napus and peculiar mode of fertilization. Japanese Journal of Botany; 7: 389-452.

Pandey A, Raj M and Kumar P 2020. Genetic improvement in Indian mustard: Exploiting variability and modern breeding techniques. Journal of Agricultural Research; 58(4), 210-220.

Patel JR, Prajapati KP, Patel PJ, Patel BK, Patel AM, Jat AL and Desai AG 2019. Genetic variability and character association analysis for seed yield and its attributes in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). Pharm Innovation; 8: 872-876.

Comment [pk32]: Not use predatory journal reference, use another

Prakash S, Yadava DK and Singh N 2009. Brassica species: An evolutionary overview and its significance in oilseed production. Brassica Breeding; 7(2), 82-97.

Comment [pk33]: Add reference

Rai P, Chauhan R and Bhardwaj S 2020. Biotic and abiotic stress management in mustard. Indian Journal of Oilseeds Research; 57(1), 41-50.

Rout S, Kerkhi SA and Gupta A. 2019. Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in relation to seed yield and its attributing traits in Indian mustard. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry; 8: 4119-4123.

Roy RK, Kumar A, Kumar S, Kumar A and Kumar RR 2018. Correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) under late sown condition. Environmental Economics; 36: 247-254.

Sharma P, Mehta J and Choudhary D 2021. Heritability and genetic advance in mustard genotypes for yield and its components. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences; 89(2), 65-72.

Singh M, Tomar A, Mishra CN and Srivastava SBL 2011. Genetic parameters and character association studies in Indian mustard. Journal of Oilseed Brassica; 2: 35-38.

Singh R and Choudhary P 2011. Genetic improvement in mustard for sustainable yield and production. Journal of Plant Breeding; 13(3), 145-152.

Singh RK and Chaudhary BD 1985. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Analysis. Kalayani Publishers. New Delhi.

Singh V, Kumar A and Rai M 2018. Genetic variability and heritability studies for yield traits in Indian mustard. Journal of Oilseeds Research; 35(1), 78-84.

Tazeen M, Nadia K and Farzana NN 2009. Heritability, phenotypic correlation and path coefficient studies for some agronomic characters in synthetic elite lines of wheat. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment; 7: 278-282.

Thakur A, Gupta S and Patel M 2022. Genomic tools for rapid improvement in Indian mustard. Plant Breeding and Biotechnology; 10(3), 198-208.

Trivedi SD, Singh, S.K. and Kumar, R. 2016. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). Journal of multidisciplinary advance research; 5: 50-58.

Verma AK, Singh H and Yadav S 2021. Selection for yield traits in Indian mustard. Journal of Oilseeds Research, 38(3), 45-53.

Comment [pk34]: Add semi-colon

UNDER PEER REVIEW