

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124384
Title of the Manuscript:	Tandur Jonna 1: A Rabi Sorghum variety for deep black soils of Telangana
Type of the Article	Original

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewers Comment	Authors Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>**This study contributes by filling the knowledge gap on issues of sorghum production packages, specific factors Contributing to it of the study area and similar agro-ecologies. The information generated from this study may help in designing more sorghum production and management interventions in the study area and in other similar agro-ecologies of the country. Besides this, the study might serve as a reference source for researchers who are interested to further investigate the issue of sorghum breeding.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>*No problem</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>**The abstract is too shallow, please revise it *Keywords are not alphabetically arranged</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>**Its okay</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>What is the importance of the studying the nutritional quality of sorghum in this study.It is better if you avoid this section from the result and discussion section</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Insufficient references, please refer and add recent references *Write-ups of the references not uniform *Avoid old references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No please use the link below to check the grammer, https://www.grammarly</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>*In table 2, instead of saying % of superiority use the word yield advantage *In section 3.4 the subtitle should be stated as Agronomic performance rather than agromic studies *Relate results in each discussion with with recent studies</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	no

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lakamo Liben Lemma
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia