

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123687
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of agronomic biofortification of zinc and iron on plant height and seed yield of chickpea ( <i>cicer arietinum</i> L.) varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Bio fortification is most importance aspect to enhance crop yield and maintaining quality in chick pea, these iron and zinc micro nutrients are most essential for crop establishment and higher yields	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Ok, but compare best treatment values with followed treatments and control In anstract mentioned 25 treatments and in methodology mentioned 24 treatments, check it once	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	If add seed quality parameters is more meaning and give more value to research paper Instead of soil application, seed treatment with micro nutrients is more accurate and help to seed enhancement quickly	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Harish M.S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, India</b>