

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_123888
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Chemotherapy on the Haematological Profile of Cervical Cancer Patients in the Littoral Region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a topic where parameters that are routinely monitored today are evaluated. In my opinion, it does not make a new contribution to academic literature. In fact, hemogram monitoring is constantly performed in cancer patients and affects the treatment process. I think it is an article that is not very rich in terms of innovation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It was written "Our study revealed that cervical cancer is mostly observed in pre- menopausal women." In the discussion section. But in Table 1, there are 29 premenopausal and 63 menopausal women. Isn't there a contradiction here? No statistical comparison of blood cell counts and demographic data was made. At least data such as smoking, alcohol use, menopause status could have been processed in more detail. I think that the manuscript is scientifically insufficient.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not recent. The format of the references is not appropriate, they should all be reviewed and corrected.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study can be expanded with more detailed analysis, but I do not think it is a study that will bring innovation to the literature.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elif Bilgin Doğru
Department, University & Country	Istanbul University, Institute of Oncology, Turkey