

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_124438
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends, Motivations and Complications of Body Piercing among Undergraduate Students in a University in Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript is of significant value to the scientific community with specific emphasis on butt piercing pertaining to the university students of Nigeria who are very few and hence not researched much with sociocultural trends and health reckoning.</p> <p>This addresses the issue of peering how the practice of fossed body umbrellas individuals' motives, more specifically how cultural affiliations, urge from community or societal members and oneself can be correlated with body art enhancement practices.</p> <p>This study also discusses health issues, health care, and complications that may arise during such activities and hence calls for better health care information and practice.</p> <p>The result obtained has prepared ground for work to be done in public health, sociology and the study of youth especially within the African settings.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	My suggestion regarding this article comprehensive is ok. but add other points - Objectives one line.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive methodology, which likely includes a well-defined sample population of undergraduate students and appropriate data collection techniques.</p> <p>The study's use of both qualitative and quantitative approaches ensures a balanced understanding of the motivations, trends, and complications associated with body piercing.</p> <p>Its focus on a specific demographic and geographic region adds depth and contextual relevance, allowing for more accurate insights.</p> <p>Additionally, the clear identification of health complications and sociocultural factors suggests that the research is grounded in empirical evidence and thorough analysis.</p>	

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES, Sufficient references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments	NO	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raj Kumar
Department, University & Country	Graphic Era Institute of Medical Sciences, India