

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_124163
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study Of Laparoscopic Transabdominal Preperitoneal Repair In Inguinal Hernia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant in the scientific community as it provides valuable clinical data supporting the efficacy and safety of Laparoscopic Transabdominal Preperitoneal (TAPP) repair, a minimally invasive technique increasingly adopted in hernia surgery. By offering detailed insights into surgical outcomes and recovery metrics, the study contributes to evidence-based practice and helps refine surgical techniques. Additionally, it underscores the growing importance of minimally invasive procedures in modern surgical care, influencing both clinical guidelines and future research in hernia management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Advantages .</p> <p>1. Ease and Structure: The essay is logically structured, flowing from the introduction and goals to the methods, findings, and conclusion. Because each section is well defined, it is simple to follow the study.</p> <p>2. All-encompassing Approach: All-inclusive Technique: Strong inclusion and exclusion criteria, surgical procedure, and data collection methods are all clearly described in the study design. The results are more reliable when a prospective interventional study design is used.</p> <p>3. In-depth Surgical Method: The TAPP technique is described in detail in the article, along with important technical details and factors. Surgeons who wish to use or improve this procedure will find this full account useful.</p> <p>4. Notable Discoveries: In order to assess the efficacy and safety of the TAPP repair, the results are accompanied by pertinent statistical measures, such as mean operational times and postoperative pain levels.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall Evaluation: A thorough and organized review of Laparoscopic Transabdominal Preperitoneal (TAPP) repair for inguinal hernias is provided in this article. TAPP is a minimally invasive procedure that has a number of benefits over open surgery. Given the frequency of inguinal hernias and the growing use of laparoscopic techniques in contemporary surgical practice, the research is pertinent and topical. Because the study design, methodology, and results are all properly stated, the publication adds significant value to the field.</p> <p>Conclusion: The paper provides important information on the safety and effectiveness of TAPP repair for inguinal hernias and does a good job of highlighting its advantages. A bigger and more varied sample size would be advantageous for the study, though, as would an emphasis on long-term results. The article's impact could be increased by extending the discussion of problems and adding a comparison with other surgical procedures. All things considered, the study adds significantly to the body of knowledge on laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair and provides helpful information for both ways</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nasib Al Shibli
Department, University & Country	Jouf University, Saudi Arabia