

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124475
Title of the Manuscript:	Eco-friendly management of Alternaria leaf spot of brinjal (Solanum melongena L.)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript relates our human food of daily consume, which will help to the farmers to produce organic Brinjal. While practicing Brinjal farming chemical pesticide can be reduce with the help of Bio-agents.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title found to be suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Maximum plant height @ 30 DAT (22.78 cm), 60 DAT (37.16 cm), 90 DAT (53.87 cm). Maximum number of leaves @ 30 DAT (10.83), 60 DAT (31.80), 90 DAT (47.33). Maximum number of branches @ 30 DAT (4.23), 60 DAT (11.40), 90 DAT (13.83). Among the treatments, neem leaf extract + Trichoderma viride was found most effective in the percent disease intensity i.e. @ 45 DAT (10.32%), 60 DAT (16.11%), 75 DAT (27.93%) of brinjal followed by clove extract + Trichoderma viride and Trichoderma viride + T. viride as compared to mancozeb (treated check) and control. The maximum yield was found to be in neem leaf extract + Trichoderma viride i.e. (16.63 t/ha) followed by clove extract + Trichoderma viride, and Trichoderma viride + T. viride as compared to mancozeb (treated check) and control and the maximum cost benefit ratio was also found to be in Neem leaf extract + Trichoderma viride i.e. (1:2.62). these things are part of experiment which can be deleted from abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is strong with the point of view which shows many plants used to prepare fungicide with the adding cow urine to control the plant disease. This also sound us of organic farming.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and as well as relevant with the literature.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language should grammatically appropriate, but I found few correction.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please look out through the manuscript language and Abbreviation used in abstract.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navalsingh J. Todawat
Department, University & Country	Moreshwar Arts, Science and Commerce College, India