

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124157
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of the weight of the eggs of Flesh Roux and Kuroiler breeding hens on their hatching in an incubator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to determine the reasons affecting the hatchability in studies to increase hatchability. In this study, the effect of egg weight on hatchability was investigated. The study was conducted on two chicken breeds. It is a study that can contribute to science and the egg sector.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While investigating a factor affecting the results, neglecting other important factors makes the results questionable. For example, in this study, Shape Index should have been taken into consideration in egg selection. Because long and round eggs have low hatchability. Comparative statistical tests could be applied for hatchability. For this purpose, X <sup>2</sup> test or a suitable nonparametric test could have been considered.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is no literature to discuss incubation efficiency.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Karabulut Osman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aksaray University, Turkey</b>