

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123662
Title of the Manuscript:	Geographic information system to study the spatial distribution of cotton pink bollworm, <i>Pectinophora gossypiella</i> (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelichidae) in Jalgaon district, Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the manuscript is of significance importance but the contents of the manuscript don't justify the title. The manuscript describes only the use of GIS methodology but the author is unable to define clearly the mobility of the pest regarding the population and infestation level and directions or orientation. The results only define the output of GIS system which does not explain the intensity/density in terms of individuals per plant or replication. (there is no scientific way of site selection or other basis of location defining). Discussion section is entirely missing. Too old references (1948 and repeated references). Conclusion should be a concert statement rather repeating the results only.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Everything has been explained in tack changes and comments to the original manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	no	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No a good contribution to scientific research work. Many more technical methodological, grammatical and scientific mistakes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No add more references and latest replace the old	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor English language (must seek help from some native English speaker)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shamim Akhtar
Department, University & Country	Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Pakistan