

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_124181
Title of the Manuscript:	Metabolic Shifting in Individual Parasitized with Helminths That May Contributes to Stunting
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript represent important heathy issue.there has been a reduction in child stunting rates from 40% in 1990 to 23% in 2016, 155 million children worldwide still suffer from stunted growth, mostly in Africa, South Asia, and Southeast Asia	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be more specific. The review has dealt with intentional worm not protozoan or bacteria Metabolic Shifting by Intestinal helminths infection may Contribute to Stunting	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was good with little sugest I suggest; During infection parasitic helminth and its excretory/secretory products induce and arouse the type 2 immune response by host plays an important role in promoting tissue repair Helminths also activates M2 Macrophages that play important role metabolic shift. Then I suggest you mention the second part of your abstract includes ; even metabolic reprogramming towards reliance on oxidative phosphorylation..... stunting formation	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In the introduction I suggest to give brief percentage or number about stunting and child malnutrition in the worldwide I prefer to to be in chart or texts as author prefers	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	(1) suggest you write the full scientific name of organisms in the first place. (2)I suggest write the full scientific name of any immunoprotein or enzyme in first place. I suggest Necator americanus then you can write N. americanus I suggest Interleukin 10 then IL-10	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	In the last section of the fourth topic, a lot of information was mentioned without referring to any reference , even one. From ; they are complex metazoans that belong.... To the epigenetic alterations for future therapies	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	From what I saw, I believe that the English language was good and appropriate and not complicated.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali idan Al-Musaedi
Department, University & Country	Southern Technical University, Iraq