

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_119032
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Relationship of Stress Level and The Incident of Functional Dyspepsias</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the relationship between stress levels and functional dyspepsia, a condition affecting a significant portion of the population. By focusing on medical students, the study highlights the impact of academic stress, an area of increasing concern due to the growing pressures in medical education. The findings contribute to understanding how stress correlates with gastrointestinal disorders, which could inform better preventive and therapeutic approaches. However, the manuscript could benefit from more rigorous methodology, especially in ensuring the consistency and reliability of data collection during the pandemic, which is crucial for drawing more robust conclusions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article could be improved to better reflect the key elements of the study, particularly its focus on stress levels, functional dyspepsia, and the specific population studied. A more suitable title could be:</p> <p><b>"Association Between Stress Levels and Functional Dyspepsia Among Medical Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Study of Indonesian Christian University Class of 2020"</b></p> <p>This title highlights the core variables, the population studied, and the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, making it more informative for readers.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>To assess the comprehensiveness of the abstract, it should ideally include the following key components:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Background and Rationale</b>: Briefly explain why the study is important, touching on stress, functional dyspepsia, and the population being studied (medical students during the pandemic).</li> <li><b>Objective</b>: Clearly state the purpose of the study (e.g., to assess the relationship between stress levels and functional dyspepsia in students).</li> <li><b>Methods</b>: A concise description of the study design, participants, and measurement tools (e.g., use of DASS, sample size).</li> <li><b>Results</b>: Summarize key findings (e.g., the prevalence of stress and dyspepsia, statistical significance).</li> <li><b>Conclusion</b>: State the main conclusions, such as the significant relationship between stress and dyspepsia.</li> </ol> <p><b>### Suggestions for Improvement:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Add a Clear Objective</b>: Ensure the abstract contains a precise research objective or aim of the study.</li> <li><b>Summarize the Results</b>: Include a brief description of the most important findings (e.g., percentages of dyspepsia, levels of stress, and P-values).</li> <li><b>Clarify the Methods</b>: A brief mention of how stress and dyspepsia were measured would enhance clarity.</li> <li><b>Condense Background Information</b>: Avoid excessive detail in the introduction and focus more on the study's core outcomes and significance.</li> </ul> <p>This will make the abstract more structured and aligned with standard scientific reporting.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		

### Review Form 3

<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear research focus on the relationship between stress and functional dyspepsia among medical students, a relevant and timely topic. The use of established instruments, such as the DASS for stress measurement, lends credibility to the methodology. Furthermore, the study design is appropriate for assessing the correlation between these variables, and the sample size seems reasonable for deriving statistically meaningful results. However, ensuring that data analysis and statistical methods are clearly presented and appropriate for the research question is critical for maintaining scientific rigor.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Add new and updated references</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Somnath Verma</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Meenakshi Medical College Hospital, India</b>