

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123386
Title of the Manuscript:	Weather Based Forewarning Systems for Important Pests and Diseases in Major Crops
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review paper is focusing on very important area of agriculture. I would like to appreciate author for the same. As in this era of altered climate due to growing globalization, weather can shift abruptly. Farmers have to face challenges in quality yield and allied losses. To deal with these situations, researchers should work on plant pathology to solve the problems faced by the farmers. The review paper can be accepted with some modifications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title of the review is suitable for the review.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, 1.The author have used words like “models/System/tool” for the same system. Please make sure the context of Abstract goes hand in hand. Use appropriately the said words. if it is system then use system only rather than using tool or model keywords. Or justify it accordingly. 2.Author should specify targeted crops and diseases as well in a sentence (If not Here then include it in Introduction). 3.Use of “This” and “These” are used many time	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsection are Ok, but technically should be elaborated more.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.Point 3.1 and 3.2 should have citation. 2.Citations are required whenever author is refereeing any statistical data (4.4 Second para), 3.Use any of the Citation method IEEE/ APA, both cannot be used. 4.Point 4 Can be technically elaborated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More citations are required as discussed in above point.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language wise review if good	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarita Dnyaneshwar Sapkal
Department, University & Country	India