

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_123611
Title of the Manuscript:	Successful coronary interventions with the ingenious Vector® balloon in Chronic Total Occlusion (CTO)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Coronary interventions in Chronic Total Occlusion (CTO) are essential because they involve the treatment of completely blocked coronary arteries, which can lead to severe heart conditions. 2- The Vector® balloon represents an innovative tool in this field, and its importance lies in its specialized design that enhances the success rate of these challenging procedures. 3- A cost-effective and efficient tool for CTO interventions will greatly benefit patients by improving outcomes while minimizing expenses. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggestions : The proximal shaft with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coating brings the flexibility to navigate complex and tortuous vascular pathways with ease due to its laser welded core-wire.- All necessary details should be included in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Required minor corrections	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. statistical significance level is not mentioned below the table. 2. "eGFRWire escalation was 23.07%, and tobacco consumption was 38.46%—clinical correlation is required." 3. "The approved protocol number by the local ethics committee must be mentioned." 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Additional references are required for better clarifications	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Can be accepted with minor revisions	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> Yes	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikunja Kishor Mishra
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India