

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_124680
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of producers post-harvest practices and quality of cashew nuts ( <i>Anacardium Occidentale</i> L) produced in five localities in the sub-prefecture of Katogo (Côte d'Ivoire).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The focus of the text is on post-harvest practices followed by the produces, which is very important for the produce to be handled properly to reduce the damage. Since the Ivory coast is the leading producer and exporter of cashew nuts, due care need to be taken to compete in the markert.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Contains language issue	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Post-harvest practice should be properly mentioned.</li> <li>2. Impact of the current practice on cashew nuts</li> <li>3. Mention the problematic area in Post-harvest practice.</li> <li>4. Include suggestions for improvement</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need improvement	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kiran Chanda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Management Maharaja Agrasen, University Solan Himachal Pradesh, India</b>