

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ARJASS_117970
Title of the Manuscript:	DISASTER COMMUNICATION IN FOREST AND LAND FIRE MANAGEMENT IN SOUTH KALIMANTAN
Type of the Article	In my opinion, it is a short communication

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses critical issues related to forest and land fires, including governmental preparedness, coordination with stakeholders, and the effectiveness of communication strategies. The current title is suitable but could be more specific and engaging. Here are some alternative suggestions: Effective Disaster Communication for Managing Forest and Land Fires In South Kalimantan Enhancing Communication Strategies in Forest and Land Fire Management: A Case Study of South Kalimantan The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study's focus, methodology, and key findings. It effectively outlines the problem of recurrent forest and land fires in South Kalimantan, attributing it to insufficient disaster risk communication and coordination. The abstract highlights the main findings, such as the lack of educational information and early preventive systems, and points out the limited involvement of the government with relevant parties, especially the media. No, In my opinion, It will be better if Table 1 and Table 2 are transferred to the Materials and Method section. No The reference is sufficient for this manuscript. But there is no follow the format in the references section. It will be better for follow same style for all references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English language is suitable but it will be better to correct grammar and add innovative somethings.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It will be better if Table 1 and Table 2 are transferred to the Materials and Method section. Does the introduction clearly outline the issue of forest and land fires in Indonesia, including their causes, impacts, and the governmental response? How effectively does it set the stage for the rest of the document? Do the provided statistics effectively support the claims made about the severity of the fires and their consequences? The literature review effectively categorizes previous research but could benefit from explicitly linking past findings to the objectives of the current study. It will be better to separate literature review and material method chapter. Does the conclusion is effectively highlight the shortcomings in the government's response to forest and land fires in South Kalimantan? Does it should be clearly identify specific areas for improvement, such as coordination with stakeholders, information dissemination, and media utilization? <p>Reference is not follow same style. Suggested that follow the same references style for all references.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajib Hossain
Department, University & Country	Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University, Bangladesh