

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ARJASS_117970
Title of the Manuscript:	DISASTER COMMUNICATION IN FOREST AND LAND FIRE MANAGEMENT IN SOUTH KALIMANTAN
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>While the subject sounds interesting, this paper needs minor revision as recommended.</p> <p>The abstract is well written. No doubt that the topic of the article is up-to-date and worth the investigation. The material presented in the article illustrates the situation and provide basement for making the conclusions.</p> <p>Nevertheless, the article needs some improvement to be better accepted by the potential readers.</p> <p>While the Introduction section and the literature review are well organized, the research gap based on its theoretical background should be explained clearly. What motivates to do this research? Describe the organization of their paper at the end of the Introduction.</p> <p>Research objectives are not clearly presented.</p> <p>The methods of the research would be better understandable if the information of the period when the research was completed, sampling and the number of respondents interviewed. How the exploration done?</p> <p>The last part of the paper needs to develop. Managerial implications should be addressed at the end of the "Discussion" section. The Discussion section should be extended.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language and readability of the paper are acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Minor revision</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayamalathi Jayabalan
Department, University & Country	University Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia