

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_124167
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD AND QUALITY OF SOME SUGARCANE VARIETIES AS AFFECTED BY ROW AND HILL SPACING OF SEEDLINGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript seems to be important for scientific community as it study the major constraints of farmers for choosing variety and their proper spacing. The manuscript is scientifically correct and has significant impact for farmers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is correct but format should follow the Authors Guidelines of Journal	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is much bulky and Paragraph change is not preferred in this section. So the Authors should follow the proper guideline and should correct the Comment in this section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All the sub-sections of the manuscript are not followed such as Conclusion and others so, the Authors should strongly add the major sub-section in the Manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Technically appropriate but Spelling, conciseness, Punctuation and Grammar Check should be done appropriately but not found too much plagiarism but should reduce the plagiarism in order to maintain the standard of Manuscript. The major statement of problem and Rationale of study should be added in the introduction section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Proper format of Citation is not followed and similar is the case of References. So, the author should correct all the citation and References according to the Journal Guidelines. And more reference that are of recent publication should be added.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is somewhat suitable for scholarly communications but should correct some mistakes highlighted in the manuscript and previous comment.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chudamani Pant</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agriculture and Forestry University, Nepal</b>