

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_123293
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficiency and residues of spinosad, methoxyfenzide and diflubenzuron against <i>Spodotera littoralis</i> (Boisd.) on tomato fruits under field conditions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The toxicity of three insecticides were evaluated against <i>S. littoralis</i>'s and it it proved to be very toxic to the insect. So these insecticides can be integrated to control <i>Spodotera</i> in IPM. 2. I like this manuscript as they also check the residue levels as Pre harvest interval on fruits under field condition. 3. Semi-field application result was spinosad was the most effective causing 91% and 100% mortalities at initial and residual effect against second instar and fourth larvae. The half-life of spinosad was only 1.31 days 	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable to the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is appropriately written and slightly corrections required	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, I have highlighted things to be changed	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please form the sentence properly 2. Grammar has to cheked and changed 3. Font size it not at all same whole manuscript please change 4. Technically and scientifically correct 5. Minor corrections are required for acceptance 	All corrections are made
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. References need to be checked inside and at last in the list 2. Frame references according to the journal style 3. Recent 5 years references need to be added 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, some sentences to be check and changed	
Optional/General comments	Overall article may be accepted after minor corrections	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyadarshani P Mohapatra
Department, University & Country	College of Community Science, Central Agricultural University, India