

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_124336
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF KNOWLEDGE, STIGMATIZATION AND TREATMENT METHODS ON LYMPHATIC FILARIASIS IN SURU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KEBBI STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript gives perception of the community regarding LF. Based on this, study focus area on community mobilization and Information Education and Communication (IEC) activities can be planned and associated myths among community can be clarified	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has touched the components previously unaddressed. It has given insight for planning regarding community mobilization activities , IEC and IPC .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	YES	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES MINOR GRAMMATICAL CORRECTION SUGGESTIONS GIVEN IN TRACK CHANGE MODE	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sagya Singh
Department, University & Country	India