

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124530
Title of the Manuscript:	UTILIZATION OF ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI FOR THE EFFICIENCY OF LEAD METAL ABSORPTION AND OF HANJUANG PLANTS (<i>Cordyline fruticosa</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Phytoremediation is the best and the cheapest method for contaminant remediation specially with utilizing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>UTILIZATION OF ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI FOR THE EFFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT OF LEAD METAL ABSORPTION AND GROWTH OF HANJUANG PLANTS (Cordyline fruticosa)</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are some notices In Materials and Tools, 1-the researcher mentioned sulfuric acid (HCl) 25% and 37%. Hcl hydrochloric acid not sulfuric acid 2- 2.4.2. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) Application. The researcher should mention which genus and species of AMF that used in this research and what is the source of AMF inoculum</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehjin AL-Ani
Department, University & Country	Iraq