

# Effect of Irrigation and Nitrogen Applied at Critical Stages on the Yield and Yield Attributes of Boro Rice in North-Western Part of Bangladesh

Commented [1]: Mention the specific stage.

Commented [2]: There is no need to mention Bangladesh unless the study is Geo-spatial or samples were collected from this region without amendment.

## ABSTRACT :

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory of the Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi, from December 2022 to May 2023. The intent of this program was to ascertain the effects of nitrogen splitting and watering schedule on the yield and yield attributes of boro rice. Two factors were identified: the first was the use of three watering timetables, specifically for early tillering stages ( $I_1$ ), early tillering & flowering stages ( $I_2$ ), and early tillering, panicle initiation & flowering stages ( $I_3$ ); the second was the use of four nitrogen splitting, 0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (control) application ( $N_0$ ), 138 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (recommended) dose as basal application ( $N_1$ ), 69 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at early tillering stage + 69 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering stage - ( $N_2$ ), 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at early tillering stage + 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at panicle initiation + and 46 kg 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering stage - ( $N_3$ ). Highest chlorophyll content at different days after transplanting, the maximum number of functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (15.67), the number of filled grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (115.24), grain yield (4.44 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (5.05 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded by  $I_3$ . In case of nitrogen, highest chlorophyll content, number of operating tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>: 13.32; number of filled grains spike<sup>-1</sup>: 112.44; test weight: 22.39 g; grain yield: 4.48 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, straw yield (5.05 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), was recorded for  $N_3$ .

Commented [3]: The abstract should begin with the general statement about the study following the objectives of the study. Please be specific and relevant.

Commented [4]: Can you please use another word other than yield attributes?.

Commented [5]: You did not mention the plant's growth stage.

Commented [6]: Please rewrite the statement. It's confusing to understand its meaning.

Commented [7]: Why is this dose recommended?

Commented [8]: These +, and - signs are confusing. Please rewrite the treatments for better understanding.

Commented [9]: When you are writing abstract, you need to make sure to not to repeat the statements. You have written the same statement in methodology.

Commented [10]: Please write it in active voice and mention only significant findings.

Commented [11]: Where is the conclusion statement?

Commented [12]: Please revise this statement.

Keywords: Irrigation; nitrogen; rice; yield; chlorophyll

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is primarily an agrarian nation where agriculture is the main propellant of economic growth. While food and nutritional security is considered in its fullest sense yet to be achieved for 165 million people, major progress is being imposed upon rice upon the rice production, as the staple food is rice [2]. Bangladesh is the third-largest producer of rice worldwide [21]. Over 13 million farms currently cultivate rice on 10.5 million hectares of land, constituting 80% of the land under irrigation and 75% of the total cropped area [3]. Based on nutritional analysis, a total of 100 grams of white, short-grain cooked rice contains 130 calorie intake, 28.7 grams of carbohydrates, 2.36 grams of protein content, and 0.19 grams of fatty tissue [25], which fulfill the maximum nutritional demand of Bangladesh's people.

Irrigation is vital for rice cultivation, as rice consumes 70% of agricultural sector water in Bangladesh [5]. The depletion of groundwater in Bangladesh resulting from overuse of

irrigation water has emerged as a significant issue, particularly in the North-Western part [18]. Temperature rise, erratic rainfall patterns due to climate change result in more groundwater evaporation and raise the amount of water needed for industrial, agricultural, and other uses. However, water requirements in rice vary with different critical stages; water stresses during these stages decrease the yield severely. In order to provide food security for the expanding population and to withstand the effects of global warming, it is crucial to plan an effective and economical irrigation schedule in order to increase output.

Nitrogen is the one most limiting necessary nutritional component of plants and a critical input for rice crop growth and yield [6]. It has been found that adding nitrogen increases yield and yield characteristics [27], as it is a functional component of proteins, amino acids, DNA, RNA and a number of phytohormones. Moreover, optimal nitrogen doses at critical stages induce cell division, proliferation and leaf elongation. On the other hand, overuse of nitrogen has a detrimental effect on the surrounding ecosystem, prevalence of diseases and insect pests increases agriculture and causes pollution in the aquatic ecosystem [7]. Therefore, the study aimed to evaluate the effect of irrigation and nitrogen levels on the yield and yield attributes of boro rice.

Please write a paragraph for boro rice and its distribution in bangladesh.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

## 2. Experimental details

### 2.1 Experimental site and soil

The test went on at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi, all through the duration from November 2022 to May 2023, located 71 feet above sea level in latitude 24 22'36" N and longitude 88 38'27" E. The experimental site was located in a tropical climate, defined by high temperatures and moderate rainfall from April to September (Kharif season) and moderate temperatures from October to March (Rabi season). The experimental soil had a pH of 8.1, 0.46% organic matter, 0.09% overall nitrogen, and the available phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and zinc are 17.61, 0.21, 9.36, and 0.33  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , respectively. During the observation period, there was a mean of 17.309 mm of rainfall and 78.78% humidity.

### 2.2 Experimental design and treatment

The ~~experiment~~ ~~experimental~~ was laid out in Split -Plot design with 3 replications. Two factors were identified: the first was the use of three watering timetables, specifically for early tillering stages ( $I_1$ ), early tillering & flowering stages ( $I_2$ ), and early tillering, panicle initiation & flowering stages ( $I_3$ ); the second was the use of four nitrogen splitting, 0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (control) application ( $N_0$ ), 138 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (recommended) dose as basal application ( $N_1$ ), 69 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at early tillering stage + 69 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering stage - ( $N_2$ ), 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at early tillering stage + 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at panicle initiation + and 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering stage - ( $N_3$ ).

Commented [13]: Why have you mentioned it as Application?

Commented [14]: Please revise this statement. Substitute +,- signs.

### 2.3 Crop husbandry

Thirty-five days old seedlings were transplanted in the ~~well-puddled~~ ~~well-puddled~~ plots ~~of~~ ~~are~~ three seedlings hill<sup>-1</sup> on 7th January 2023. Fertilizers were applied to the plot, including urea, Triple Super Phosphate (TSP), Muriate of Potash (MoP), gypsum, and zinc sulphate, with quantities of 300, 100, 80, 60, and 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. With the exception of urea, this fertilizer was applied as a basal dose during the final land preparation of individual plots. The total specified amount of urea was applied according to experimental requirements.

## 2.4 Data collection and analysis

Data were collected with consideration of critical stages. To measure chlorophyll content, SPAD (Soil and Plant Analyzer Development) 502 Plus Chlorophyll Meter was used. Recorded data for different parameters were compiled and tabulated in proper form for statistical analysis. The "Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)" was done with the help of the computer package MSTAT-C. The mean differences and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) were judged by IBM SPSS software.

**Commented [15]:** What other parameters you recorded?. Please mention them here.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effects on plant characters

#### 3.1.1 Effect of irrigation frequencies on chlorophyll content

SPAD values showed a significant correlation with chlorophyll content. The highest measurements (31.760, 38.348, 41.467 mg m<sup>-2</sup>) were consistently recorded in I<sub>3</sub> (Figure 1), while I<sub>1</sub> exhibited lower values (26.522, 35.786, 37.759 mg m<sup>-2</sup>) at 30 DAT, 50 DAT, and 70 DAT. Effective irrigation helps to maintain optimum turgor pressure, allowing efficient absorption of nitrogen, a vital component of chlorophyll. Several studies have reported increased yield and agronomic efficiencies with appropriate nitrogen applications [11].

**Commented [16]:** When you are using abbreviations, please write it in full form first. /Later you can continue with Abbreviations.

**Commented [17]:** Is it assumption or your finding?. If its assumption then please do not write it as speculation or assumption.




Figure 1: Effect of irrigation frequencies on chlorophyll content

#### 3.1.2 Effect of nitrogen levels on chlorophyll content

The results revealed notable variations when the impact of nitrogen on the amount of chlorophyll was considered. N<sub>3</sub> had the most levels (Figure 2) of chlorophyll contents (30.286, 38.203, 40.728 mg m<sup>-2</sup>). Conversely, N<sub>0</sub> displayed the least results (27.791, 36.341, and 38.307 mg m<sup>-2</sup>). Nitrogen is a fundamental element of the chlorophyll molecule, as a result, an adequate supply of nitrogen enhances the production of chlorophyll. Sarker et al. [26] reported that optimum doses of nitrogen in rice increase the chlorophyll content.




Figure 2: Effect of nitrogen on chlorophyll content at different DAT

### 3.1.3 Interaction effect of irrigation frequencies & nitrogen levels on chlorophyll content

The amount of chlorophyll content was not being much affected by the combination of irrigation schedules and nitrogen concentrations (Table 1). However,  $I_1N_0$  showed the lowest value at 25.627, 34.930, and 36.620 mg m<sup>-2</sup>, whereas  $I_3N_3$  showed the highest values (33.930, 39.493, and 43.867 mg m<sup>-2</sup>). Furthermore,  $I_3N_2$  showed the second-highest values (31.700, 38.400, and 41.700 mg m<sup>-2</sup>).

**Commented [18]:** Which treatment is this?. You haven't mentioned it in methodology section. Please mention it there.

**Commented [19]:** Which treatment is this?. You haven't mentioned it in methodology section. Please mention it there.

Table 1: Interaction effect of irrigation frequencies & nitrogen levels on chlorophyll content

Treatment	Chlorophyll content		
	30 DAT	50 DAT	70 DAT
$I_1N_0$	25.627	34.930	36.620
$I_1N_1$	26.463	35.627	37.233
$I_1N_2$	26.867	36.133	38.267
$I_1N_3$	27.130	36.453	38.917
$I_2N_0$	27.800	37.130	38.733
$I_2N_1$	28.363	37.117	38.907
$I_2N_2$	29.563	37.163	39.500
$I_2N_3$	29.797	38.663	39.400
$I_3N_0$	29.947	36.963	39.567
$I_3N_1$	31.463	38.533	40.733
$I_3N_2$	31.700	38.400	41.700
$I_3N_3$	33.930	39.493	43.867
Level of significance	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)	2.80	2.50	2.20

Note: "In each column, treatment means followed by the same letter (e.g., a, b) are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level of significance according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Means with different letters indicate significant differences. NS = Non-significant; CV = Coefficient of Variation.

**Commented [20]:** You haven't mentioned the lettering.

## **3.2 Effects of irrigation on the yield and yield components of boro rice**

### **3.2.1 Plant height (cm)**

There was an intense connection between the inundating of fields at critical stages of crops and plant height. (Table 2). The results showed that I<sub>3</sub> (87.49 cm) was the growing medium for the largest plant, whereas I<sub>1</sub> (75.64 cm) was used for the smallest plant. I<sub>2</sub> (85.16 cm) was the second longest plant producing medium. Plant height was significantly changed by the watering according to the crop stage treatment ensuring the nutrients availability and proper root development, according to Mathew *et al.* [19].

### **3.2.2 Total number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>**

The total number of rice tillers per hill<sup>-1</sup> varied significantly according to the moistening frequency (Table 2). The results showed that I<sub>3</sub> (17.20), which is scientifically equivalent to I<sub>2</sub> (14.82), had the highest number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, while I<sub>1</sub> (12.52) had the lowest. Consistent and adequate supply of water ~~induces~~ induce the formation of lateral buds, which develop into new tillers. Moreover, irrigation ~~during the tillering~~ during tillering stage ~~ensures~~ ensure the needed ~~resources~~ resource to produce more tillers. Similar results were confirmed by Haque *et al.* [9].

### **3.2.3 No. of functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>**

Moisturizing with consideration of crop physiological stages has a significant impact on functional tillers (Table 2). The results showed that I<sub>3</sub> (15.67) had the highest number of functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, which is operationally identical to I<sub>2</sub> (11.98), while I<sub>1</sub> (9.17) had the lowest number. Optimum water supply increases the nutrients availability, reduces the water stress, and ensures proper root development. Miah *et al.* [20] used different irrigation techniques and reported that application of irrigation at panicle initiation stage ~~increases~~ increase functional tillers.

### **3.2.4 Spike length (cm)**

It was demonstrated that the spike length had a significant impact on the watering treatment (Table 2). The greatest spike length (22.96 cm) was found in I<sub>3</sub>, which is statistically equivalent to I<sub>2</sub>'s 22.16 cm. I<sub>1</sub> had the fewest spike lengths (21.54 cm) observed.

### **3.2.5 No. of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>**

It was discovered that the quantity of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> significantly affected when to water, (Table 2). I<sub>3</sub> displayed the greatest number of grain spike<sup>-1</sup> (126.07), while I<sub>1</sub> displayed the lowest number of grain spike<sup>-1</sup> (98.60). I<sub>2</sub> demonstrated the second highest (114.85). Optimum irrigation supply at the panicle initiation stage ~~supports~~ support panicle formation, nutrient uptake and providing energy needed for grain production, ~~resulting in more~~ resulting more grains per panicle. Barman *et al.* [1] found that increasing the frequency of watering significantly increased the overall amount of grain production.

### **3.2.6 No. of filled grains spike<sup>-1</sup>**

The timetable of saturating has a considerable effect on the quantity of packed grains spike<sup>-1</sup>. I<sub>3</sub> had the biggest full grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (115.24), which was comparable to I<sub>2</sub> (96.00), and I<sub>1</sub> had the lowest (77.49) (Table 2). This is ~~because water~~ because water facilities maintain turgor pressure and increase photosynthesis activity, providing the energy to transport photosynthates to sink from source. Additionally, water ~~stress is minimized~~ stress minimized

through application of water, which ~~reduces~~ reduces the abortion of grains and thus ~~increases~~ increases the filled grains number. Mathew *et al.* [19] reported that watering at panicle initiation stage greatly ~~increases~~ increases filled grains.

### **3.2.7 1000 grain weight (g)**

Regarding the weight of one thousand grains of boro rice, there was a discernible difference in the rewetting timings (Table 2). It was noted that I<sub>3</sub> had the highest test weight of 21.68 g, while I<sub>1</sub> had the lowest test weight of 21.22 g. Many hydration timings significantly raised the test weight [4] and [1].

### **3.2.8 Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Data indicate that the methods used for scheduling irrigation have a significant impact on grain output. The I<sub>3</sub> provided the largest (Figure 3) number of grains (4.44 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), while the I<sub>1</sub> yielded the fewest (3.61 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment I<sub>2</sub> produced the second highest grain yield (3.96 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The work represented that the grain yield was gradually increased with the increase of watering frequencies. This is because of the consistent supply of nutrients throughout the growth period. Choudhary *et al.* [4] reported a similar result.

### **3.2.9 Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Because of the multiple watering, the straw production varied greatly (Figure 3). It was projected that the I<sub>3</sub> had the highest straw yield (5.05 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of Boro rice and I<sub>2</sub> was the second one (4.49 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), while the I<sub>1</sub> had the lowest (4.08 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Watering at different critical stages helps to increase leaves and tillers number ~~resulting in higher~~ resulting higher straw yield. Barman *et al.* [1] and Karim *et al.* [13] discovered comparable results while using multiple watering techniques had the highest straw yield.




Figure 3: Effect of irrigation frequencies on the grain and straw yield

### **3.2.10 Biological yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The biological yield was significantly impacted by the timing and length of watering (Table 2). I<sub>3</sub> had the highest biological yield (9.49 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was quantitatively equivalent to I<sub>2</sub>'s (8.45

t ha<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, I<sub>1</sub> produced the lowest biological yield (7.69 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Karim *et al.* [13] discovered that Alternative Wetting and Drying (AWD) results **better when** compared to conventional irrigation **better result when** technique.

### 3.2.11 Harvest index (%)

Because of the different watering timings, the harvest index was not statistically significant (Table 2). It was observed that I<sub>1</sub> had the highest harvest index (46.96%), whereas I<sub>2</sub> had the lowest (46.79%) and I<sub>3</sub> produced the second ranked (46.83).

Table 2: Effect of irrigation frequencies on yield contributing characters of boro rice

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No of total tillers	No of effective tillers	Panicle length	No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	No. of filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	1000 Grains weight	Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest Index (%)
I <sub>1</sub>	75.642 <sub>c</sub>	12.522 <sub>c</sub>	9.167 <sub>c</sub>	21.535 <sub>b</sub>	98.60 <sub>c</sub>	77.49 <sub>c</sub>	21.217 <sub>b</sub>	7.6917 <sub>c</sub>	46.963
I <sub>2</sub>	85.158 <sub>b</sub>	14.825 <sub>b</sub>	11.983 <sub>b</sub>	22.156 <sub>ab</sub>	114.85 <sub>b</sub>	96.00 <sub>b</sub>	21.283 <sub>b</sub>	8.4517 <sub>b</sub>	46.796
I <sub>3</sub>	87.492 <sub>a</sub>	17.200 <sub>a</sub>	15.667 <sub>a</sub>	22.959 <sub>a</sub>	126.07 <sub>a</sub>	115.24 <sub>a</sub>	21.675 <sub>a</sub>	9.4931 <sub>a</sub>	46.828
Level of significance	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	NS
CV (%)	1.03	3.41	3.97	6.30	1.89	2.22	3.06	6.03	1.37

Note: "In each column, treatment means followed by the same letter (e.g., a, b) are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level of significance according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Means with different letters indicate significant differences. NS = Non-significant; CV = Coefficient of Variation.

### 3.3 Effects of nitrogen levels applied at critical stages on the yield and yield components of boro rice

#### 3.3.1 Plant height (cm)

Split nitrogen usages had a significant effect on plant height (Table 3). The most mature plant was raised in N<sub>3</sub> (84.94 cm) that was significant to N<sub>2</sub> (83.82), while the youngest plant was **raised** in N<sub>0</sub> (80.44 cm), per the results. Plant height is increased by nitrogen treatment, as reported by Paul *et al.* [21] and Hoque *et al.* [10]. Additionally, Ferdush *et al.* [7] reported that nitrogen application increases the cell division and elongation **resulting in** the enhancement of plant height.

#### 3.3.2. Total no. of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>

Significant differences were seen in the total number of boro rice tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> as a result of the fractionate nitrogen treatment (Table 3). The results showed that the largest number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in N<sub>3</sub> (16.33), and the second highest was from N<sub>2</sub> (12.36), while the least number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> was identified in N<sub>0</sub> (13.59), and this is equivalent to N<sub>1</sub> (14.22) statistically. Highest number of total tillers occurred due to absorption of **nutrients**.

**Commented [21]:** Its very frustrating for the reader to read the individual results of nitrogen and irrigation application. It would be better if you combine nitrogen and irrigation outcomes together under the same headings.

moisture and for more availability of sunlight throughout the growing season [21]. Similar outcomes were noted by Pooja *et al.* [22] and Hoque *et al.* [10].

### **3.3.3. No. of functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>**

The capabilities of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> had a major impact by nitrogen splitting (Table 3). The results showed that N<sub>0</sub> (11.42) had the fewest functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, while N<sub>3</sub> (13.32) had the largest that was significant to N<sub>2</sub> (12.36). Application of nitrogen at tillering stage ~~ensuresensure~~ better synthesis of amino acids, proteins and enzymes which are responsible to produce effective tillers in rice. When nitrogen was applied in a divided way, Liu *et al.* [17] saw similar results.

### **3.3.4 Spike length (cm)**

The spike length had a discernible impact on the splitting of nitrogen (Table 3). N<sub>3</sub> had the longest spike length, measuring 23.48 cm, while N<sub>0</sub> had the least spike length, assessed at 20.35 cm. Optimum application of nitrogen at panicle initiation stage ~~assistsassist~~ the cell division of spikelet tissues ~~resulting in increasedresulting-increased~~ spike length. Gewaily *et al.* [8] reported similar outcomes with split nitrogen application.

### **3.3.5 No. of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>**

Grain spike<sup>-1</sup> was found to have a significant influence on nitrogen splitting (Table 3). Regarding the leading grain spike<sup>-1</sup>, its value in N<sub>3</sub> was (121.91), where N<sub>2</sub> demonstrated (118.11). In N<sub>0</sub>, the fewest number of grain spike<sup>-1</sup> (104.52) was found. This is ~~because nitrogenbecause, nitrogen~~ supports the spikelet's initiation, ~~ensuringensure~~ optimal nutrients supply and increment of photosynthetic activity.

### **3.3.6 No. of filled grains spike<sup>-1</sup>**

A considerable impact of nitrogen fractionalization was reported on grain-filled spike-1 (Table 3). The highest number of grains that filled spike<sup>-1</sup> (112.44) was determined from N<sub>3</sub>, whereas the fewest packed grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (81.23) was estimated from N<sub>0</sub>. N<sub>2</sub> produced the second highest (100.70) number of filled grains. Productive grains per panicle increased with the increment of nitrogen levels because it enhances the spikelet development and optimized the grain filling process. Similar results were found in the split nitrogen utilization by Pooja *et al.* [22].

### **3.3.7 Thousand's grain weight (g)**

Nitrogen split utilization had a notable bearing on the total weight of one thousand grains of boro rice on BRRI dhan28 (Table 3). N<sub>0</sub> had the lowest test weight of 20.18 g, while N<sub>3</sub> had the highest test weight of 22.39 g. The main cause of a rise in the grain's weight at greater nitrogen levels may be the leaves increased chlorophyll level resulting in raised the rate of photosynthetic activity, as a result, produced an abundance of photosynthates for grain formation [25]. Similarly, Kamruzzaman *et al.* [12] noted their observations.

### **3.3.8 Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Studies demonstrate that grain yield is significantly impacted by nitrogen fragmentate. N<sub>0</sub> yielded the least amount (Figure 4) of grain (3.47 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas N<sub>3</sub> produced the highest (4.48 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Whereas N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> produced 3.93 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 4.14 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The results showed that grain yield gradually increased in an order sequence from N<sub>0</sub> to N<sub>3</sub>. Improvements in growth metrics, such as the average number of overall tillers hill-1, as well as improvements

in yield and yield-contributing characteristics, such as the amount of productive tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> with the amount of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, were primarily responsible for the rise in grain yield driven on by the higher nitrogen status. According to Kamruzzaman *et al.* [12], split nitrogen application enhanced grain production.

### 3.3.9 Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Due to the split of nitrogen, the straw yield exhibited significant variability. It was calculated that the N<sub>3</sub> produced the highest (Figure 4) straw yield (5.05 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the N<sub>0</sub> produced the lowest yield (3.95 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The amount of tillers and plant height that rice developed during its vegetative phase were enhanced by nitrogen fertilizer, which ultimately improved the amount of straw produced. Pooja *et al.* [22] and Rajput *et al.* [24] reported a significant increase in straw output in the setting of the split employing fertilizer nitrogen.




Figure 4. Effect of nitrogen levels on grain and straw yield

### 3.3.10 Biological yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The amount of nitrogen utilized in splits significantly affected biological yield (Table 3). The biological production from N<sub>3</sub> was predicted to be the highest at 9.53 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. N<sub>2</sub> (8.82) and N<sub>1</sub> (8.42) came in second and third, respectively. N<sub>0</sub> had the lowest biological yield (7.41 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at the same time. Rajput *et al.* [24] noticed that biological ~~yield was enhanced~~ when they used split nitrogen.

### 3.3.11 Harvest index (%)

Due to the split application of nitrogen, the harvest index displayed no analytical significance, (Table 3). N<sub>1</sub> provided the lowest harvest index (46.66%), whereas N<sub>3</sub> yielded the most harvest index (47.04%), which was significant to N<sub>2</sub> (47.01%).

Table 3. Effect of nitrogen on yield and yield contributing characters of boro rice

Treatment	Plant height	No of total tillers	No of effective tillers	Panicl e length	No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	No. of filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	1000 grains weight	Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest Index (%)
N <sub>0</sub>	80.44 4d	13.58 5d	11.42 2c	20.34 8b	104.5 2d	81.23 d	20.17 8c	7.413c	46.73 5
N <sub>1</sub>	81.84 4c	14.22 2c	11.98 9b	22.34 5a	108.1 5c	90.59 c	21.48 9b	8.427b	46.66 0
N <sub>2</sub>	83.82 2b	15.25 6b	12.35 6b	22.69 1a	118.1 1b	100.7 0b	21.51 1b	8.817b	47.01 3
N <sub>3</sub>	84.94 4a	16.33 3a	13.32 2a	23.48 2a	121.9 1a	112.4 4a	22.38 9a	9.525a	47.04 1
Level of significance	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	NS
CV (%)	1.03	3.41	3.97	6.30	1.89	2.22	3.06	6.03	1.37

Note: "In each column, treatment means followed by the same letter (e.g., a, b) are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level of significance according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Means with different letters indicate significant differences. NS = Non-significant; CV = Coefficient of Variation.

### 3.6 Interaction effects of irrigation and nitrogen levels on yield and yield components of boro rice

#### 3.6.1 Plant height (cm)

Plant height was significantly impacted by the combination of the split nitrogen treatment and watering at critical stages of crop (Table 4). The results indicated that when I<sub>3</sub> interacted with N<sub>3</sub>, the largest-yielding plant (90.33 cm) was created, while when I<sub>1</sub> interacted with N<sub>0</sub>, the lowest-yielding plant (72.20 cm) was seen.

#### 3.6.2. Total no. of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>

The ~~combined~~ effect of irrigation and nitrogen had no significant effect on the tiller number hill<sup>-1</sup>. There was numerically a large fluctuation of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> of boro rice by a blend of hydration schedule and splitting of N (Table 4). The results showed that when it reacted with N<sub>3</sub>, the greatest tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (18.83) was investigated in I<sub>3</sub>, and when it interacted with N<sub>0</sub>, the least number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (11.66) was documented in I<sub>1</sub>. Kumawat *et al.* [15] noted analogous results.

#### 3.6.3. No. of functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>

Pairing of watering schedule and separation of nitrogen had significant consequences on operational tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). The results showed that when it interacted with N<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>3</sub> had the forefront functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (16.97), and when it interacted with N<sub>0</sub>, I<sub>1</sub> had the lowest number of functional tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (9.07). Similar findings were observed by Li *et al.* [16] through an examination of the connection between nitrogen splitting and watering levels.

#### 3.6.4 Spike length (cm)

**Commented [22]:** Again you are repeating the same outcomes but with individual applications. Please combine them together and mention only significant findings.

When considering the interplay between the nitrogen splitting and the hydration schedule, spike length was not found to have a significant impact (Table 4). When it interacted with N<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>3</sub> reported the longest spike length (24.7 cm), while I<sub>1</sub> estimated the shortest spike length (19.88 cm) when it interacted with N<sub>0</sub>. According to Keerthi *et al.* [14], an irrigation schedule and split nitrogen application boosted spike length.

### **3.6.5 No. of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>**

The analysis revealed that grain spike<sup>-1</sup> had a significant influence on the link between the nitrogen splitting process and the watering sequence (Table 4). When it interacted with N<sub>3</sub>, the peak of the grain spike<sup>-1</sup> (141.11) was calculated in I<sub>3</sub>, and when it interacted with N<sub>0</sub>, the lowest grain spike<sup>-1</sup> (91.67) was computed in I<sub>1</sub>. According to research by Keerthi *et al.* [14] and Kumawat *et al.* (2016), grain spike<sup>-1</sup> was raised by suitable watering intervals and nitrogen splitting.

### **3.6.6 No. of filled grains spike<sup>-1</sup>**

The combined effect of the hydration and split nitrogen treatment varied significantly on the packed grain spike<sup>-1</sup> of rice, as shown in Table 4. In the cooperation of I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, the most occupied grain spike<sup>-1</sup> (135.50) was estimated, while the interaction of I<sub>1</sub>N<sub>0</sub> produced the fewest, which was (72.29). Keerthi *et al.* [14] noted comparable results.

Table 4. Interaction effect of irrigation and nitrogen on yield and yield contributing characters of boro rice

Interaction	Plant height	No of total tillers	No of effective tillers	Panicle length	No. of Grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	No. of filled grain panicle <sup>-1</sup>
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	72.200g	11.656	9.067g	19.878	91.67k	72.29j
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	75.267f	12.333	9.033g	21.666	96.22j	74.17j
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	77.767e	12.767	8.733g	22.041	103.78hi	78.33i
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	77.333e	14.167	9.833fg	22.556	102.72i	85.17gh
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	83.500d	14.744	11.100ef	20.267	107.56gh	82.83h
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	83.467d	15.011	11.667de	22.589	111.06fg	88.39fg
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	86.500bc	15.400	12.000de	22.622	118.89cd	96.11e
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	87.167b	16.833	13.167cd	23.145	121.89c	116.67c
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	85.633c	16.489	14.100bc	20.900	114.33ef	88.56f
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	86.800bc	16.667	15.267ab	22.779	117.17de	109.22d
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	87.200b	17.600	16.333a	23.411	131.67b	127.67b
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	90.333a	18.833	16.967a	24.745	141.11a	135.50a
Level of significance	0.01	NS	0.01	NS	0.01	0.01
CV (%)	1.03	3.41	3.97	6.30	1.89	2.22

Note: "In each column, treatment means followed by the same letter (e.g., a, b) are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level of significance according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Means with different letters indicate significant differences. NS = Non-significant; CV = Coefficient of Variation.

### **3.6.7 Thousand's grain weight (g)**

The weight of the thousand grains was not significantly affected by the interaction between the timing of watering and the N splitting (Table 5). I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub> had the biggest weight of thousand grains (22.90 g), whereas I<sub>1</sub>N<sub>0</sub> had the smallest weight (19.73 g). The same conclusions were reached by Keerthi *et al.* [14] and Pooja *et al.* [22].

### **3.6.8. Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Research indicates that the relationship between nitrogen splitting and watering schedule had no significant impact on grain output (Table 5). The I<sub>3</sub> with N<sub>3</sub> was predicted to have the most grain (4.87 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the I<sub>1</sub> with N<sub>0</sub> was estimated to have the least (3.56 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). A suitable drenching calendar and divided nitrogen usage boosted grain production [22] and [15].

### **3.6.9 Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Research indicates that the timing of watering and nitrogen splitting together was not a significant effect on the amount of straw produced, as shown in Table 5. The I<sub>3</sub> with N<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest straw yield (5.62 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the I<sub>1</sub> with N<sub>0</sub> projected the least value (3.56 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Kumawat *et al.* [15] discovered that straw output increased with the proper frequency of watering and divided nitrogen administration.

### **3.6.10 Biological yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The cooperation of nitrogen splitting and hydration timing had no significant impact on biological yield (Table 5). When I<sub>3</sub> reacted with N<sub>3</sub>, it had the highest biological production (10.49 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, I<sub>1</sub> with N<sub>0</sub> yielded the lowest estimate (6.70 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Keerthi *et al.* [14] noted comparable results.

### **3.6.11 Harvest index (%)**

The harvest index was significant when splitting of nitrogen and the watering schedule interacted (Table 5). With N<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> had the highest harvest index (47.55%), whereas I<sub>2</sub>N<sub>0</sub> had the lowest (45.75%), according to estimates.

Table 5. Interaction effect of irrigation and nitrogen on yield and yield contributing characters of boro rice

Interaction	1000 grain weight	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest Index (%)
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	19.733	3.150	3.560	6.710	46.948ab
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	21.500	3.4000	3.887	7.287	46.672ab
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	21.733	3.700e	4.160	7.860	47.086ab
I <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	21.900	4.2000	4.710	8.910	47.147ab
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	20.300	3.3500	3.973	7.323	45.753 b
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	21.467	3.8267	4.363	8.190	46.721ab
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	21.000	4.3000	4.817	9.117	47.163a
I <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	22.367	4.3667	4.810	9.177	47.546a
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	20.500	3.9000	4.307	8.207	47.505a
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	21.500	4.5667	5.237	9.803	46.588ab
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	21.800	4.4333	5.040	9.473	46.788ab
I <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	22.900	4.8667	5.623	10.489	46.431ab

Level of significance	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.05
CV (%)	3.06	6.28	6.09	6.03	1.37

Note: "In each column, treatment means followed by the same letter (e.g., a, b) are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level of significance according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Means with different letters indicate significant differences. NS = Non-significant; CV = Coefficient of Variation.

#### 4. Conclusion

From the research, it was found that irrigation at the tillering stage, panicle initiation, and flowering stages help to increase the yield of rice, whereas in the case of nitrogen application, 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at the early tillering stage + 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at panicle initiation + and 46 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at the flowering stage showed the best results. As environmental degradation is increasing day by day, it needs further research to evaluate the grain quality and cost of production with the existing practices of producers.

**Commented [23]:** Please change the application statement. Its hard to read and understand. Plus in which context they shows best result?. Please be specific.

**Commented [24]:** How does your study add value to this?

#### References

1. Barman SC, Ali MA, Hiya HJ, Sarker KR, Sattar MA. Effect of water management practices on rice yield, water productivity and water savings under irrigated rice paddy ecosystem. *J. Environ. Sci. Nat. Resour.*, 2016;9(2):79-84. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/iesnr.v9i2.32161>
2. BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). Population & housing census 2022. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Stat. Div., Minis. Plan., Govt. People's Repub. Dhaka, Bangladesh. 2022;5-6. [https://sid.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/sid.portal.gov.bd/publications/01ad1ffe\\_cfef\\_4811\\_af97\\_594b6c64d7c3/PHC\\_Preliminary\\_Report\\_\(English\)\\_August\\_2022.pdf](https://sid.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/sid.portal.gov.bd/publications/01ad1ffe_cfef_4811_af97_594b6c64d7c3/PHC_Preliminary_Report_(English)_August_2022.pdf)
3. Biswas JC, Mamiruzzaman M, Haque MM, Hossain MB, Naher UA, Akhtar S, Rahman MM, Akhter S, Ahmed F, Biswas JK. Greenhouse gas emissions from paddy fields in Bangladesh compared to top twenty rice producing countries and emission reduction strategies. *Paddy and Water Environ.*, 2022;20(3):381-393. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-022-00899-2>
4. Choudhary K, Bharti V, Saha A, Kumar S. Growth and yield assessment of direct seeded basmati rice under different irrigation schedules. *J. of Hill Agric.*, 2018;9(1):55-59. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2230-7338.2018.00010.1>
5. Chowdhury NT. Water management in Bangladesh: an analytical review. *Water policy.* 2010;12(1): 32-51. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2009.112>
6. Djaman K, Bado BV, Mel VC. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on yield and nitrogen use efficiency of four aromatic rice varieties. *Emirates J. Food Agric.*, 2016;28(02): 126-135. <https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.2015-05-250>
7. Ferdush J, Sarkar MA, Paul SK, Rahman MS, Talukderb FU, Imran S. Interaction influence of row arrangement and nitrogen level on the growth and yield of transplant Aman rice (BRRI dhan34). *Sustain. Food Agric.*, 2020;1(1):55-63. <http://doi.org/10.26480/sfna.01.2020.55.63>
8. Gewaily EE, Ghoneim Gewaily EE, Osman MA. Effects of nitrogen levels on growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of some newly released Egyptian rice genotypes. *Open Agric.*, 2018;3(1): 310-318. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2018-0034>
9. Haque MM, Majumder RR, Hore TK, Biswash MR. Yield contributing characters effect of submerged water levels of boro rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Scientia.* 2015;9(1): 23-29. <http://doi.org/10.15192/PSCP.SA.2015.9.1.2329>

10. Hoque MM, Hossen MS, Akter SE, Alim SA, Nadim MK, Zhuma AA. Nitrogen use efficiency, growth and yield performance of BRRI dhan28 under different doses of nitrogenous fertilizer application. *J. Bangladesh Agric. Uni.*, 2021;19(3):318-324  
<http://doi.org/10.5455/JBAU.70839>
11. Islam MR, Haque KS, Akter N, Karim MA. Leaf chlorophyll dynamics in wheat based on SPAD meter reading and its relationship with grain yield. *Sci. Agric.* 2014;8(1):13-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15192/PSCP.SA.2014.4.1.1318>
12. Kamruzzaman MD, Kayum MA, Hasan MM, Hasan MM, Da Silva JA. Effect of split application of nitrogen fertilizer on yield and yield attributes of transplanted aman rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Bangladesh J. of Agric. Res.*, 2013;38(4):579-587.  
<https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v38i4.18886>
13. Karim MR, Alam MM, Ladha JK, Islam MS, Islam MR. Effect of different irrigation and tillage methods on yield and resource use efficiency of boro rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Bangladesh J. of Agric. Res.*, 2014;39(1):151-163.  
<https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v39i1.20165>
14. Keerthi MM, Babu R, Venkataraman NS, Mahendran PP. Influence of irrigation scheduling with levels and times of nitrogen application on root growth of aerobic rice. *American Journal of Plant Sciences.* 2018;9(11):2297-2305.  
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2018.911166>
15. Kumawat A, Sepat S, Kaur R, Kumar D, Jinger D. Effect of irrigation scheduling and nitrogen application on productivity and profitability of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian J. Agron.*, 2016;61(4):506-508. <https://doi.org/10.59797/ija.v61i4.4401>
16. Li Y, Shao X, Li D, Xiao M, Hu X, He J. Effects of water and nitrogen coupling on growth, physiology and yield of rice. *Int. J. Agri. Biol. Eng.*, 2019;12(3):60-66.  
<http://www.ijabe.org/index.php/ijabe/article/view/4060/pdf>
17. Liu Y, Li C, Fang B, Fang Y, Chen K, Zhang Y, Zhang H. Potential for high yield with increased seedling density and decreased N fertilizer application under seedling-throwing rice cultivation. *Scientific Reports.* 2019;9(1):731.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36978-w>
18. Mainuddin M, Maniruzzaman MD, Alam MM, Mojid MA, Schmidt EJ, Islam MT, Scobie M. Water usage and productivity of Boro rice at the field level and their impacts on the sustainable groundwater irrigation in the North-West Bangladesh. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 2020; 240:106294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106294>
19. Mathew EE, Korir NK, Gweyi-Onyango JP, Akuja TE. Growth response of two Nerica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties on irrigation scheduling in Mwea irrigation scheme, Kenya. *Tropical plant res.*, 2019;6(2):183–191.  
<https://doi.org/10.22271/tpr.2019.v6.i2.027>
20. Miah MA, Mia MM, Islam MS, Rahman MS, Islam M, Kader MA, Jahangir MM, Hossain A. Effects of irrigation scheduling on growth and yield of Boro rice in Bangladesh. *Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci. Res.* 2019;7(4):15-20.  
<http://www.ijbssr.com/currentissueview/14013331>
21. Paul NC, Paul SC, Paul SK, Salam MA. Response of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on the growth performance of aromatic Boro rice. *Arch. of Agric. and Environ. Sci.*, 2021;25;6(3):303-309.  
<https://doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2021.060306>
22. Pooja SS, Gupta K, Singh UP. Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and economics of boro rice in lowland rice ecosystem. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, 2020;8(1):1963-1965. <https://www.chemjournal.com/archives/2020/vol8issue1/PartAC/8-1-237-367.pdf>
23. Rahman MC, Islam MA, Rahaman MS, Sarkar MA, Ahmed R, Kabir MS. Identifying the threshold level of flooding for rice production in Bangladesh: An Empirical Analysis. *J. Bangladesh Agric. Uni.*, 2021;19(2):243-250.  
<https://doi.org/10.5455/JBAU.53297>

24. Rajput RK, Bahadur R, Singh SP, Singh M, Rajput P, Verma J, Chaudhary A. Effect of moisture regimes split application of nitrogen on growth attributes, yield and quality of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Pharma. Phytochem.*, 2018;7(3):3726-3728. <https://www.phytojournal.com/archives/2018/vol7issue3/PartAY7-1-392-621.pdf>
25. Razib MA, Sarker AU, Sultana N, Islam MN, Podder R. Performance of Three Boro Rice Varieties Under Different Levels of Nitrogen Application. *Res. Agric. Livest. Fish.*, 2022;9(3):267-278. <https://doi.org/10.3329/raif.v9i3.63963>
26. Sarker UK, Uddin MR, Hossain MD, Begum S, Hasan AB. Nitrogen management in boro rice using chlorophyll meter (SPAD) under sub-tropical condition. *Arch. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 2022;7(2):166-173. <https://doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2022.070204>
27. Singh D, Yadav A, Tripathi A, Singh S, Singh AK. Effect of Nitrogen Levels on Growth, Yield Attributes and Yield of Hybrid Varieties of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. *Asian J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri.*, 2022;8(4):1-6. <https://doi.org/10.35709/ory.2023.60.1.10>
28. Tuti MD, Rapolu MK, Brajendra. Climate Change and Its Impact on Rice Productivity and Quality. *Climate Change and Resilient Food Systems: Issues, Challenges, and Way Forward*. 2021:191-203. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4538-6\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4538-6_6)

UNDER PEER REVIEW