

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_124214
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis a very early infantile form Infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis: about a new case
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it sheds light on a rare and devastating genetic disorder, early infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (Santavuori-Haltia disease). Given its rarity, especially in consanguineous populations, detailed case reports like this contribute to the growing body of literature, which is essential for better understanding the clinical presentation, progression, and management of the disease.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The current title, "<b>Infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis: about a new case,</b>" could be more specific to reflect the detailed case presented in the manuscript. A clearer and more descriptive title would attract greater attention and provide a better understanding of the case content.</p> <p>Suggested alternative title:  <b>"A Rare Case of Early Infantile Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis: Clinical and Diagnostic Insights"</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Grammatical Issue:</b> "Introduction" should be "Introduction."  <b>Inconsistent spelling</b> of "lipofuscinosis" (sometimes written as "lipofuschinosis").</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Overall, the structure is appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound, primarily due to its thorough presentation of the clinical case and comprehensive diagnostic approach. The detailed description of the patient's symptoms, diagnostic imaging, and histological findings aligns well with established criteria for diagnosing neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, specifically the early infantile form. The integration of molecular biology studies and histopathological examination further supports the accuracy of the diagnosis.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>            =</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are a combination of older and newer sources, but many of them are not recent. To strengthen the manuscript, it would be beneficial to include more recent references that reflect the latest advancements and research in neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. For example: Reference no. 3            3.Dyken PR: The neuronal ceroid-lipofuscinoses. Child Neurol, 1989, 4: 165-174.</p> <p><b>Recent reviews or studies on the genetic and molecular mechanisms of neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis</b> can be used to provide an updated perspective on recent discoveries or advancements</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Minor Corrections:</b> 1. Correct spelling and grammatical errors for clarity and consistency. 2. Picture must be clearly visible for identification of pathologies 3. Mention generic name of the drugs in the bracket which are used during treatment	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The review has been conducted in accordance with CARE guidelines. The manuscript can be accepted after incorporating the suggested changes.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shoebul Haque</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>King George's Medical University, India</b>