

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>This article addresses non-response in the context of mean estimation. A thorough understanding of the assumptions regarding non-response mechanisms is essential. Specifically, it is crucial to determine whether the missing data is classified as Missing at Random (MAR), Missing Completely at Random (MCAR), or Missing Not at Random (MNAR). Additionally, clarification is needed as to whether the missingness pertains to the response variable or the auxiliary variable. It appears that these assumptions are either missing or not explicitly stated in the article. I suggest that the authors must provide a detailed discussion of these aspects in the introduction, clearly specifying the proposed estimators perform optimally for which missingness mechanisms are relevant for which variables (outcome or the auxiliary)?</p>	<p>This has been addressed by one of the peer reviewer and the revised article has addressed that you may wish to check page section 1.0 under introduction in page 1 of the document, the comment is shaded in yellow.</p>