

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_121153
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Electrocardiogram among Adult Nigerians: High Blood Pressure versus Normal Blood Pressure
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This study is significant because it uses ECG measurements to demonstrate how high blood pressure (HBP) affects the electrical activity of the heart.</li> <li>• It gives useful information on how high blood pressure affects QRS voltage and heart rate, which can help identify dangers such as left ventricular hypertrophy. The findings are useful for doctors and academics studying heart health, particularly in Nigerian populations.</li> <li>• The results clearly show how HBP affects ECG readings, supporting the need for routine ECG tests in hypertensive patients.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes, the title fits the content of the study well.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The abstract is complete and provides a good summary of the study. No changes are needed.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes, the structure and sections of the manuscript are well-organized.</li> <li>• Conclusion should be more elaborate and future scope should be included.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The research is of high scientific quality. It employs a strong method for data analysis and produces clear results, demonstrating significant differences in ECG readings between those with and without high blood pressure. This provides crucial information regarding heart health.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The references are up-to-date and appropriate. No additional references are needed.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally appropriate for scholarly communication. However, minor revisions could enhance clarity and improve the flow. The article uses correct scientific terminology and follows a proper structure, but a few grammatical adjustments and more concise phrasing would make it easier to read and understand.</p> <p>For instance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some sentences in the results section could be simplified for better clarity.</li> <li>• Adding transition words could help improve the flow between sections and ideas.</li> </ul> <p>Overall, the language is suitable for academic publishing, though small improvements could make it even clearer and more precise.</p>	

### Review Form 3

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The study is valuable, but the discussion could be improved by comparing the findings with more research from other countries.</li><li>• 8.5/10 – Minor revisions suggested. The study is well-done and provides important insights into how high blood pressure affects ECG results.</li></ul>	
----------------------------------	---	--

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Remalli Rohan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India</b>