

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_124593
Title of the Manuscript:	MINOR MODIFICATION IN ASBESTOS ROOF OF COW-SHED FOR REDUCTION OF HEAT STRESS IN DAIRY COWS DURING SUMMER SEASON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	. Keywords need revision	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A new Title is suggested, as title has been very long	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract needs revision. It is very lengthy and need succinct narration about the problem, the gap and the outcome. Many parts of it should be the part of introduction. <u>Abstract and introduction</u> should not have similar sentences. Needs grammar checking very care fully	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	5. How Table 1& and Table 2 are relevant to this study? . Why did humidity vary so much in different sheds? 7. Title of table and figures are not appropriate needs careful revision and shortening 8. Introduce the formula used in determination of THI in manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	. Result and discussion are very superficial . Discuss scientifically why changes occurred in various parameters, to improve communication with readers 10. Is information on milk yield is relevant for this short duration study? Is a consistent increase or decrease possible over a week of study? If so, how? 11. Conclusion should be more illustrative	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	12. In attached pdf file of reviewed manuscript some suggestions are incorporated using comment box for improvement in manuscript from the author(s)'side	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atiqur Rahman
Department, University & Country	ICAR-CSSRI Regional Research Station Lucknow, India