

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123851
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Morpho-Biochemical characters of Watermelon ( <i>Citrullus lanatus</i> (Thunb.) cultivars in different dates of sowing under growing conditions of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript is considered important from an economic point of view for India as there is a great dependence on watermelon for food.</li> <li>2. The researcher thought of increasing the period in which watermelon is grown and this is a sound thought on his part as it leads to increased productivity.</li> <li>3. Of course, there is good effort by researchers to study all the measurements of the resulting crop in terms of its quality parameters, reproductive parameters and yield attributing characters.</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title of the article is suitable.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and explains the research in brief.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- This manuscript is considered good from a scientific point of view, as it studied a strategic crop that is indispensable India.</li> <li>2- The researchers in this manuscript have worked hard to increase the period during which watermelon crops are grown in India, and this is a good thing from an economic point of view.</li> <li>3- The manuscript studied all the measurements of the resulting crop in terms of its quality parameters, reproductive parameters and yield attributing characters.</li> </ol>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>I think that researchers need to add more references to support the research and it is preferable to update references.</b>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language/English quality of the article need to editing	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Table titles need to be modified.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nermeen Mohamed Tolba Elmorsy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural Engineering Research Institute, Egypt</b>