

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_124269
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF STARCH FROM SWEET POTATO ( <i>Ipomea batatas</i> ) PEELS
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Starch is used as an adsorbent material to remove metals from water bodies and in medicine delivery systems because of its various surface porosity. It is used in the food industry to produce food items and as ingredients for thickening, preserving, and improving quality.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The section on abstraction is extensive. However, be included in the stage at which amylose and amylopectin formation declined.  Is that 9.5% moisture content is a constant value?	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate but there is no acknowledgement section.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Both technically and scientifically, the manuscript is sound. The surface porosity of sweet potato starch is higher, as seen by SEM pictures, and this is a prerequisite for the use of adsorption technology in wastewater treatment. There isn't a table that compares this work to others that are similar.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are insufficient. I propose that many comparable studies on the extraction of starch from various materials be conducted and you can utilize.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is good and continues your work for different application.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nibret Mekonen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia</b>