

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_124269
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF STARCH FROM SWEET POTATO (<i>Ipomea batatas</i>) PEELS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very useful for the scientific community especially for the pharmaceutical and agricultural industry. Sweet potato is a very well-known starch source its characterization is very much important in the field of phytochemistry department also.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Actual isolation and characterization of the starch from Sweet potato was conducted so that the title 'EXTRACTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF STARCH FROM SWEET POTATO (<i>Ipomea batatas</i>) PEELS' is very much relevant and suitable for this particular study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Precise and accurate abstract,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, enough sub titles	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very relevant and accurate but, in the discussion, part can add some more previous studies to support the findings of this study. Also mention the method of starch extraction reference in that part. Failed to add supporting studies in the discussion part.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add reference for method of starch isolation followed. And add supporting studies in the discussion part to support the findings of this study and then discuss the results with enough support	
Minor REVISION comments	Enough	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Structured systematically	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anu S
Department, University & Country	NSS Training College, University of Calicut, India