

## Efficacy of insecticides against yellow stem borer (*Scirpophagaincertulas Walker*) and rice leaf folder (*CnaphaocrocismedinalisGuenee*) and their impact on natural enemies in rice ecosystem

### Abstract

The experiment was conducted at AAU-Assam Rice Research Institute, Titabor to test the efficacy of some insecticides against yellow stem borer and rice leaf folder. Out of 8 tested insecticides, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC showed the best result in minimizing yellow stem borer and rice leaf folder infestation. The lowest infestation percentage of yellow stem borer (2.10%) was observed on Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC treated plots followed by treatment with Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC (2.33 %) at 7DAT. Similarly, WEH (0.33%) was also recorded as the lowest on Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC treated plots. Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC recorded the lowest infestation percentage of rice leaf folder at 7 DAT (3.40 %) and 14 DAT (3.53 %) followed by Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC. While, the highest number of spiders and coccinellids were recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR (2.43 spider/plant and 1.33 coccinellids/plant) followed by Thiamethoxam 25WG (2.13 spiders/plant and 1.10 coccinellids/plant). In terms of yield, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC was found to be the best insecticide which provided highest yield of 5.87t/ha among all the tested insecticides.

**Key words:** Efficacy, Insecticides, Rice, Natural enemies, Yellow stem borer, Leaf folder

### 1. Introduction

Being situated in the centre of origin, the agroclimatic and physiographic conditions of Assam offer a great potential for rice cultivation in the state. However, several yield limiting yield-limiting factors are associated with rice production among which insect pests play a vital role. Though rice ecosystem is a habitat for several species of herbivores, the potential insect pest of rice in Assam are stem borer complex, rice hispa (*Dicladispa armigera*), rice gundhi bug (*Leptocorisasp.*), leaf folder (*Cnaphalocrocismedinalis*), caseworm (*Nymphuladepunctalis*), brown plant hopper (*Nilaparbvatalugens*) and thrips (*Stenchaetothripsbiformis*) [1]. Seven pest species viz., *S. incertulas*, *C. medinalis*, *Mythimna separate*, *Leptocorisa spp.*, *Nilaparbvatalugens*, *Nephotettix nigropictus*, *Nephotettix virescence* were recorded as major pest out of which *S. incertulas* and *C. medinalis* were found to be the highly abundant and dominant species in Assam [2]. Stem borer borers attack rice crops from seedling to the maturity stage and it is difficult to manage due to its cryptic behavior and nocturnal habit [3]. In Asia, damages caused by yellow stem borer and striped stem borer (*Chilosuppressalis Walker*) amounted to 5-10 per cent percent, with local catastrophic outbreaks causing up to 60 per cent percent damage [4, 5]. The second dominant pest, rice leaf folder starts soon after the establishment of the crop in the main field and its occurrence was observed till 105 days after transplanting

Comment [TG1]: Give full form

Comment [TG2]: the

Comment [TG3]: Rephrase the sentence "The agroclimatic and physiographic conditions of Assam present significant potential for rice cultivation in the state."

Comment [TG4]: Insert the

Comment [TG5]: Delete bold font

Comment [TG6]: Give full scientific name for the first time

Comment [TG7]: which crop?

Comment [TG8]: Make font in italics

[6]. Leaf folder infestation leads to as high as 60-70 ~~per cent~~ leaf damage inflicting yield loss of upto 80 ~~per cent~~ [7].

Though different pest control tactics are available for rice pest management, chemical insecticides play a major role as they are easily available, easy to use, and provide satisfactory ~~result~~ results in less time. ~~But there are~~ However a large quantities ~~large quantities~~ of insecticide molecules are available in the market. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of some insecticide molecules on the major lepidopteran pest of rice viz., yellow stem borer, and rice leaf folder, and also to study their impact on natural enemies.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted in the experimental farm of AAU-Assam Rice Research Institute, Titabor, Jorhat, Assam during the kharif seasons of 2020 and 2021. The experimental site is situated at 26°35'N latitude, 28°10'E longitude having an elevation of 99.4 ~~metre~~ metres above mean sea level. The climatic condition of Titabor is subtropical humid with a hot summer and cold winter. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three ~~numbers of~~ replications and 9 treatments including control and the megarice variety of Assam, Ranjit was taken for the study. Treatments were T<sub>1</sub>= Flubendiamide 39.35SC, T<sub>2</sub>= Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC, T<sub>3</sub>= Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR, T<sub>4</sub>= Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC, T<sub>5</sub>= Thiamethoxam (12.6%) + Lambda cyhalothrin (9.5%) ZC, T<sub>6</sub>= Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC, T<sub>7</sub>= Thiamethoxam 25 WG, T<sub>8</sub>= Cartap hydrochloride 50SP and T<sub>9</sub>= Control. ~~Crop~~ The crop was sown on the 3<sup>rd</sup> week of June and transplanting was done on the 3<sup>rd</sup> week of July for both ~~the~~ seasons. ~~Crop~~ The crop was grown by following the recommended package of practices for kharif rice in Assam. The treatments were applied 30 days after transplanting and observations were recorded by following standard observation procedures at 1 day before treatment (DBT), 3 days after treatment (DAT), 7 DAT, and 14 DAT to record the pest incidence after treatments. The natural enemies viz., spiders and coccinellids were counted on randomly selected 10 plants and their numbers were recorded at 1 and 5 ~~DBT and 5~~ DAT to determine the impact of insecticides on them.

Table 1. Details of treatments

Treatment	Common name	Trade name	ai/ha	Formulation/ha
T <sub>1</sub>	Flubendiamide 39.35 SC	Fame	24	50ml

Comment [TG9]:

Comment [TG10]: mention Table number

Comment [TG11]: Replace 10 randomly selected plant

Comment [TG12]: at 1 and 5 DAT

Comment [TG13]: Details of statistical analysis used for the studies and percent calculated and observation methods should be mentioned in the materials and methods in sub sections.

T <sub>2</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC	Coragen	30	150ml
T <sub>3</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 GR	Ferterra	40	10kg
T <sub>4</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC	Ampligo	37.5	250ml
T <sub>5</sub>	Thiamethoxam (12.6%) + Lambda cyhalothrin (9.5%) ZC	Alika	44	200ml
T <sub>6</sub>	Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% E.C.	Profex Super	440	1000 ml
T <sub>7</sub>	Thiamethoxam 25 WG	Actara	30	120g
T <sub>8</sub>	Cartap hydrochloride 50SP (Check)	Caldan	500	1000ml
T <sub>9</sub>	Control			

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Efficacy of insecticides against yellow stem borer and rice leaf folder

~~Efficacy~~The efficacy of 8 insecticides ~~were-was~~ tested against yellow stem borer and rice leaf folder. Before treatment, stem borer infestation percentage ranged from 4.3 to 5.2 among the treatments. No significant difference was observed at 3DAT. At 7DAT, all insecticides demonstrated a significant reduction in infestation percentage compared to the control. But at 7DAT, all insecticides showed significant reduction of infestation percentage as compared to the control. The lowest infestation percentage (2.10%) was observed on Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC treated plots followed by treatment with Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC (2.33 %) and Thiamethoxam (12.6%) + Lambda cyhalothrin (9.5%) ZC (2.50 %) at 7DAT. At 14 DAT, the infestation again started to increase in all the treatments. The lowest infestation percentage was observed in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC (2.47 %) followed by Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC (2.60 %) Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR (2.67%) at 14 DAT. White ear heads (WEH) were recorded at the crop maturity stage and the lowest WEH was recorded on Chlorantraniliprole18.5 SC (0.33 %), Chlorantraniliprole0.4GR (0.33%) followed by Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC (0.67 %) and Flubendiamide 39.35 SC (0.67 %). At the maturity stage of the crop, white ear heads were counted which ~~was-were~~ recorded as the lowest in the case Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC (0.33%) and Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR (0.33%). While ~~pretreatment~~pre-treatment count in the case of rice leaf folder, the infestation percentage ranges from 6.10% to 7.63%. After treatment, chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC recorded

**Comment [TG14]:** Which pest? Give table number

**Comment [TG15]:** Which pest and mention table number

**Comment [TG16]:** At the maturity

the lowest infestation percentage at 7 DAT (3.40 %) and 14 DAT (3.53 %) followed by Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC. Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC was found to be superior among 5 tested insecticides against stem borer and leaf folder at Pattambi, Kerala [8]. ~~Similar-A similar~~ observation was also recorded ~~at-in~~ Tamil Nadu where Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC was recorded as the best insecticide for minimizing rice hispa, whorl maggot, and black bug infestation on rice ~~erocrops~~ [9]. ~~Minimum-A minimum~~ yellow stem borer infestation percentage was recorded in treatment with chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC at Meerut, Uttar Pradesh[9].

### 3.2. Impact of insecticides on natural enemies and grain yield

While considering the natural enemies of rice insect pests, the highest number of spiders and coccinellids among the treatments were recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR (2.43 spider/plant and 1.33 coccinellids/plant) followed by Thiamethoxam 25WG (2.13 spiders/plant and 1.10 coccinellids/plant) which was 3.1 spiders/plant and 2.10 coccinellids/plant in the untreated control at ~~5DAT~~. ~~Similar-A similar~~ observation was also recorded ~~at-in~~ Haryana where Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR was regarded as ~~a~~ safer insecticide against natural enemies in ~~the~~ rice ecosystem [10]. ~~Minimum-A minimum~~ reduction of spiders and coccinellids was recorded with Chlorantraniliprole 18.5sc among the tested insecticides in Tamil Nadu [9]. The highest yield was recorded in the treatment with Chlorantraniliprole 18.5sc (5.83 t/ha) followed by Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC and Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR treated plots (5.70 t/ha). Out of 7 tested insecticides, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5sc recorded the highest grain yield at Meerut, Uttar Pradesh [11]. ~~Similar-A similar~~ observation was also recorded in Gujrat where out of 6 insecticides, treatment with Chlorantraniliprole 18.5sc recorded the highest grain and straw yield[12].

Comment [TG17]: Insert table number

**Table 2. Effect of insecticides on stem borer incidence**

Treatments	Chemicals	Infestation percentage (%)				
		Pre-treatment	3DAT	7DAT	14DAT	WEH
T <sub>1</sub>	Flubendiamide 39.35 sc	4.6	4.07	2.67	2.80	0.67
T <sub>2</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5sc	4.7	4.20	2.10	2.47	0.33
T <sub>3</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR	5.0	4.30	2.53	2.67	0.33
T <sub>4</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC	5.2	4.17	2.33	2.60	0.67
T <sub>5</sub>	Thiamethoxam (12.6%) + Lambda cyhalothrin (9.5%)	4.5	4.20	2.50	3.37	1.00

	ZC					
T <sub>6</sub>	Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% E.C.	4.9	4.27	3.07	3.80	1.33
T <sub>7</sub>	Thiamethoxam 25 WG	5.1	4.67	3.13	3.93	1.67
T <sub>8</sub>	Cartap hydrochloride 50SP	4.6	4.23	3.20	3.70	1.33
T <sub>9</sub>	Control	4.3	4.63	4.80	5.00	2.00
	C.V.	12.53	10.98	15.16	11.90	68.81
	C.D. at 5%		0.81	0.77	0.69	1.23

**Comment [TG18]:** CV (%)

**Comment [TG19]:** Data has to be presented in a table with mean ± SE, and the level of significance has to be mentioned in the table. To show significantly different values, represent the data with superscript alphabets in a column. %Reduction over control can be added to the table.

**Table 3. Effect of insecticides on leaf folder incidence**

Treatments	Chemicals	Infestation percentage (%)			
		Pre-treatment	3DAT	7DAT	14DAT
T <sub>1</sub>	Flubendiamide 39.35 sc	7.30	5.43	3.80	4.40
T <sub>2</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5sc	7.10	5.20	3.40	3.53
T <sub>3</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR	6.83	5.47	4.63	4.93
T <sub>4</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 10% + Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC	6.87	5.13	3.47	3.70
T <sub>5</sub>	Thiamethoxam (12.6%) + Lambda cyhalothrin (9.5%) ZC	6.33	5.33	3.83	4.83
T <sub>6</sub>	Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% E.C.	7.00	5.73	3.90	5.10
T <sub>7</sub>	Thiamethoxam 25 WG	6.10	5.77	5.00	5.70
T <sub>8</sub>	Cartap hydrochloride 50SP	7.63	5.63	5.23	5.77
T <sub>9</sub>	Control	6.80	6.93	7.57	8.00
	C.V.		9.05	6.57	5.08
	C.D. at 5%		0.88	0.52	0.44

**Comment [TG20]:** Data has to be presented in a table with mean ± SE, and the level of significance has to be mentioned in the table. To show significantly different values, represent the data with superscript alphabets in a column. %Reduction over control can be added to the table.

**Table 4. Effect of insecticides on spiders, coccinellids and grain yield**

Treatments	Chemicals	Spiders (Number/hill)		Coccinellids (Number/hill)		Yield (tonnes/ha)
		Pre-treatment	5DAT	Pre-treatment	5DAT	
T <sub>2</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5sc	2.53	1.93	1.63	0.93	5.83
T <sub>3</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR	2.87	2.43	1.97	1.33	5.70
T <sub>4</sub>	Chlorantraniliprole 10% +	2.43	1.60	1.67	0.87	5.70

**Comment [TG21]:** Data has to be presented in a table with mean ± SE, and the level of significance has to be mentioned in the table. To show significantly different values, represent the data with superscript alphabets in a column. %Reduction over control can be added to the table.

	Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% ZC					
T <sub>5</sub>	Thiamethoxam (12.6%) + Lambda cyhalothrin (9.5%) ZC	2.10	1.47	1.67	0.77	5.40
T <sub>6</sub>	Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% E.C.	3.07	1.27	2.00	0.73	5.23
T <sub>7</sub>	Thiamethoxam 25 WG	2.40	2.13	2.13	1.10	5.07
T <sub>8</sub>	Cartap hydrochloride 50SP	2.90	1.33	1.87	0.80	5.03
T <sub>9</sub>	Control	2.97	3.10	1.93	2.10	5.00
	C.V.		20.98		13.14	2.56
	C.D. at 5%		0.68		0.24	0.24

Formatted: English (United States)

#### 4. Conclusion

The study results revealed that Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC has been proven to be a superior insecticide for effectively managing yellow stem borer and rice leaf folder while also increasing yield. ~~Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC is superior insecticides while considering the yield and management of yellow stem borer and rice leaf folder.~~ The granular formulation of the same molecule (Chlorantraniliprole 0.4GR) was found more cautious against spiders and coccinellids which are major predators of rice insect pests.

#### References

1. Islam Z, Heong KL, Bell M, Hazarika LK, Rajkhowa DJ, Ali S, Dutta BC and Bhuyan M. Current status of rice pests and their management in Assam, India-a discussion with extension agents. *IRRN*. 2004, 29.2, 95-97.
2. Das R. Insect Pests Associated with Rice Crop (*Oryza sativa*) at Cachar District of Assam. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 2020; 9(9): 2157-2163.
3. Bora DK, Saharia D, Hussain S. Seasonal incidence of stem borers in ahu and Sali rice, *J Agric Sci Soc*. 1995; 8(1): 10-13.
4. Kapur AP. Taxonomy of rice stem borers. In: Major Insect Pests of Rice. Proceedings of a Symposium at the International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines, Baltimore, MD, USA, The Johns Hopkins Press. 1967:369-389.
5. Barrion AT and Litsinger JA. Taxonomy of rice insect pests and their arthropod parasites and predators. In: Biology and management of rice insects. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines, 1994: 13-362.

Formatted: Font color: Red

6. Saikia R, Saikia DK, Islam AN, Devesh A. Seasonal population build-up of leaf folder (*Cnaphalocrocismedinalis* Guenee) in paddy in response to meteorological parameters. *J. of Agromet.* 2019; 21(2): 230-232.
7. Saikia P and Saikia P. Assessment of yield losses at different growth stages of rice due to rice leaffolder, *Cnaphalocrocismedinalis* Guenee. *Ann. Plant Prot. Sci.* 1999;7(2): 135-138.
8. Karthikeyan K. Efficacy of new insecticide molecules against yellow stem borer and leaf folder in rice. *J. of Rice Res.* 2019; 12(1): 52-55.
9. Anandhi P, Ambethgar V and Elamathi S. Efficacy of Newer Insecticide Molecules for the Management of Emerging Pests of Rice in the Cauvery Delta Zone of Tamil Nadu, India. *Int. J. of Pl. & Soil Sc.* 2022;34(20): 659-665.
10. Jaglan MS, Chaudhary OP, Ahlawat S and Yadav J. Bio-efficacy of chlorantraniliprole 0.4% gr against *Cnaphalocrocismedinalis* and *Scirpophaga incertulas* in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Ind. J. of Agri. Sci.* 2023; 93 (2): 157-162. <https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v93i2.119318>
11. Sachan SK, Kashyap AK, Sharma R, Verma KD and Singh HR. Efficacy of some novel insecticides against yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker) in Basmati Rice. *J. of Pharmacog. and Phytochem.* 2018; SP1: 195-197.
12. Thorat SS, Gangwar RK, Parmar MB, Patel SG and Kachar DJ. Bio-efficacy of insecticides against rice yellow stem borer and leaf folder. *Agric. Res. J.* 2023;60 (5): 699-705. DOI No. 10.5958/2395-146X.2023.00099.6