

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124654
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of the efficacy of two biological insecticides (Azadirachta indica and Bacillus thuringiensis) and a chemical insecticide (Diflubenzuron WP) against fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae) in maize crop, western Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Current experiment highlights the suggestion of biopesticides and entomopathogens as an ecofriendly approach for further uses in management. Such comparative studies also highlights the potential of Nature based managements.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yeah, its up to the mark	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its okay	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As far as result is concerned its well describing the experiment, there is a slight need of writing mode of action of insecticide.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Fairly new references used.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	2.2.3.3. Point can be written more Precisely and clearly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Its well written in terms of literature and methodology.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shiv Kumar Yadav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, India</b>