

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124555
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO EVALUATION OF FUNGICIDES AND BIO-RATIONALS AGAINST <i>Pestalotiopsis psidii</i> CAUSING GUAVA FRUIT CANKER
Type of the Article	Original research

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scabby fruit canker in Guava affects all stages of fruit development, reducing yield and causing post-harvest losses. It is one of the major constraints in producing quality guava fruit. This highlights the potential of specific fungicides and bio-rationals in managing guava fruit canker	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	IN VITRO EVALUATION OF FUNGICIDES AND BIO-RATIONALS AGAINST <i>Pestalotiopsis psidii</i> in GUAVA	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be comprising of research output including gist of methods and significant findings of the study Last part of paragraph can be written as Among the bio-rationals, panchgavya completely inhibited mycelial growth at both 5% and 10% concentrations, followed by buttermilk, which showed growth inhibition of 45.93% at 10% concentration and 17.78% at 5% concentration.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Improve the results and discussion part by adding latest references and make your article more exhaustive.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the latest reference most the reference cited are old ones https://www.phytojournal.com/archives/2020/vol9issue4/PartS/9-4-145-386.pdf	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some minor revision is required. When writing the results, use statistical terms. For example, 'a significantly lower percentage of inhibition was recorded.'	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research paper is acceptable with some minor corrections as mentioned above. It will be more exhaustive if the author includes different disease symptoms of fruit canker and images of the pathogen.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nabakishor Nongmaithem
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, India