

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123990
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of hexanal on shelf life of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper presents the results of a laboratory experiment to increase the duration and improve the quality of strawberry berries, which is a pressing problem for agricultural producers. The optimal duration and concentration of fruit processing to achieve the goal are shown.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is fully comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite adequate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically and technically sound. The authors used modern approaches to research and methodology. Modern methods and devices were used to solve the problem and determine the corresponding conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent enough.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is OK, but some technical corrections on the manuscript should be done.	
Optional/General comments	The article meets modern requirements for scientific work and can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandru Mirza
Department, University & Country	Moldova