

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112379
Title of the Manuscript:	Sanctified Trees (<i>Ficus religiosa</i> and <i>Ficus benghalensis</i>) in India - A Significance and Analogous Mythology
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript describes the different uses, significance and analogous mythology of <i>Ficus religiosa</i> and <i>Ficus benghalensis</i> as the Sanctified Trees in India. This manuscript is interesting and contains a lot of references rich and recent.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript is largely theoretically sound but there are some issues that should be addressed:</p> <p>1/ An explanation of why these species were chosen should be given (if any). Were these species singled out or do they represent a wide range of characteristics? This will help the reader to evaluate whether to choose species of the <i>Ficus</i> genus.</p> <p>2/ Please correct "a avenue" by an avenue,</p> <p>3/ Cite references for Figures 1 and 2 and Table 1</p> <p>4/ Write the genus <i>ficus</i> in italic <i>Ficus</i>.</p> <p>5/ Replace planted for aesthetic and amenity purposes by planted for ornamental purposes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chafiaa Mazri
Department, University & Country	Akli Mohand Oulhadj University of Bouira, Algeria