

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_123741
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Limb Ischemia as a Complication of Early Infectious Endocarditis Following Surgical Closure of an Atrial Septal Defect: Case Analysis and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case reports

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author/authors must specify the aim of the manuscript/case report. It is important to highlight what are the complications that care appear in endocarditis. This case report is very summary. (area, country, hospital: surgery, internal medicine, cardiology, the background of the patient, etc.?) It is not mentioned the ethical approval for this manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title include the expression "literature review", which is not very well sustained in the manuscript: poor discussion, and few references; that in my opinion must be extended.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract it is well composed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure are well done, but doesn't include any figure: from CT or intraoperative, also a table with antibiogram {profile of antimicrobial susceptibility testing results of a specific microorganism... <i>S. aureus</i> sensitivity/resistance} it is necessary.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript can be improved for better scientific value and needs more details regarding the medical part, for example: 1. What type of echocardiography was performed? 2. It was a Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) or MSSA?- very important for treatment 3. Must be mentioned the antibiotic treatment and the pathogenic one, anticoagulants were used? 4. What criteria for diagnosis and treatment were used? How many blood cultures? 5. What surgical technique was used? 6. What was the evolution after surgery?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are insufficient, and must be updated... year 2024.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality if fine.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is an interesting topic, but needs to be improved with details and updates from the literature.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Loghin Isabela Ioana
Department, University & Country	"GRIGORE T. POPA" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania