

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_123741
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Limb Ischemia as a Complication of Early Infectious Endocarditis Following Surgical Closure of an Atrial Septal Defect: Case Analysis and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case reports

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Infection in intracardiac prosthesis is well known world over and can be fatal. Clinical presentation may not always be informative. Multimodality investigations are needed to find out the exact diagnosis. At times immediate pharmacotherapy and or surgical intervention is lifesaving.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Could be shortened, "Thromboembolic events after patch repair of ASD"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Described in subheadings	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Headings are indicated, but need detailed presentation.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Which patch was used in the first repair is not indicated, what was the occlusion type in popliteal artery is not clear, if cultures were positive why hadn't the patient features of dyspnea or fever. On which side of the patch was the vegetation, right or left atrial side then only can that be related to popliteal artery pathology. Distal pulses in the occluded limb were palpable or not is not mentioned even once. How was the occluded vessel managed is not specified. How was the patient reoperated and what was the patch material used is not clear. Was the patient operated after her cultures came negative.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not as per the journal order and there is no comparison with other studies.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If the patient had no cardiorespiratory symptoms, vegetation was not large sized, was it a must to operate her. What has been done for occluded popliteal artery.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishtyak Ahmed Mir
Department, University & Country	GMC Jammu, India