

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_123544
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessments of Neuro-Protective Effects of Curcuma longa AND Zingiber officinale-supplemented feed in Tramadol-Exposed Male Wistar Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I have completed reviewing your manuscript (AJFRN_123544) and overall, it is a well-written piece that only requires minor editorial changes. The key findings of the study include <i>Zingiber officinale</i> and <i>Curcuma longa</i> offer neuro-protective efficacy against tramadol-induced neurotoxicity, likely due to their potent antioxidant properties. The significance of the study lies in its contribution to understanding the potential therapeutic benefits of these natural supplements in mitigating the adverse effects of tramadol on the brain</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes title is ok.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes abstract is Comprehensive, please minimize with relevant facts and finding</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes this is good.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The abstract lacks depth of findings, and some key studies or relevant research may have been overlooked, also there is lack of lavish language and well display. The conclusion could be further clarified or expanded upon to ensure the robustness of the study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Please add some recent year references, to update the knowledge with current research insight.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English is ok, but there is lack of lavish language please incorporate these changes.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The introduction is simply based on several references, while most of the sentences focus on previously known facts, please reorganize this part. Kindly mention the name of the Institute where work were carried out in Materials and Method. Give year of experiment (Research period) in Materials and Method.</p> <p>Please write the future perspective of your work to prove the significance of this work.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mansi Dwivedi
Department, University & Country	DDU Gorakhpur University, India