

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_124394</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing the Impact of Community Health Workforce on the Efficiency and Accessibility of Healthcare Delivery</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The revised article presents an interesting topic that deserves to be discussed in scientific literature, in general, but the text presents serious problems. It is not clear whether this text was produced based on a narrative review. The method used is not mentioned anywhere in the manuscript. The authors do not mention which databases were used or which keywords were used. Very respectfully, I think that this text cannot be classified as an article, being more suitable for a textbook, for example.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fernanda Campos de Almeida Carrer</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Sao Paulo, Brazil</b>