

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_124103
Title of the Manuscript:	Comprehensive Basin Analysis and Petroleum Potential Evaluation of Ayoluengo Oil Field, Basque-Cantabrian Basin, Spain.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The purpose of the manuscript is to communicate how you conducted the work and the results obtained so that your audience can assess the veracity of the results and the conclusion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes ,The title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Introduction section clarifies the motivation for the work presented and prepares readers for the structure of the paper. The Materials and Methods section provides sufficient detail for other scientists to reproduce the experiments presented in the paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This article is a significant contribution, generally well written, within the scope of The journal and suitable for publication with little correction : 1- Add keywords 2- Figure 1 not clear	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Osama Asanousi Eshteivi Lamma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bani Waleed University, Libya</b>