

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_123739
Title of the Manuscript:	LITHOSTRATIGRAPHIC CHARACTERISATION OF SENONIAN DEPOSITS ON THE ABIDJAN MARGIN
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study it is very important in hydrocarbon exploration. The seismic study of this work is based on the interpretation of the seismic profile. Recognizing reflector terminations and reflector geometries is arguably one of the most useful aspects of this approach.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article suitable with any revision.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract correlates with the manuscript content, but there are some words in abstract (in red colour highlight) please delete it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript falls under the scope of the Journal. The problem significant and concisely stated. The experimental and/or theoretical work described expansively. The discussion and conclusions justified by the results of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the references sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sadam H.M.A.Eltayib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan</b>