

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_123517
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and characterization of binuclear complexes of metals transition of N'1,N'4-bis(1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethylidene)succinohydrazide
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Now a day many biotic are resist to currently used drugs. So that different drug industries focuses on the synthesis of complexes to modify the drug. Such like researchers bring good idea and way how to modify for the industries. I like this manuscript and other related works, because of suitable for our surviving by discovering drugs with new mode of action. Generally the team must work further on biological activities of synthesized complexes, because only synthesis is not enough.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but use numbering style throughout the manuscript. Like 3, 3.1....., 3.2.....	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript flow idea and explanation is nice, but it somewhat short please add more explanation it increase strength. Besides to this please use graphical expression technique for more confirmation what you want to explain.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference is sufficient, but some of the reference the team uses very older make it recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very nice with some modification, like adding graphical representation for characterization and application of synthesis.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihret Kendie Wolie
Department, University & Country	Debark University, Ethiopia