

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_122819
Title of the Manuscript:	Feeding Practices Among Infants and Young Children of Female Workers in Urban Bangladesh: A Cross-Sectional Study in Dhaka and Gazipur
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	IYCF is a matter of concern in Maternal and Child Health care and services. These early practices can have a significant impact on the future of the child including but not limited to nutrition, development etc. LMICs like Bangladesh needs to asses the existent gap and its determinants to act on.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>AIM:</b> Consider following the grammar for objective writing - to + action verb + ..... the author can write "and estimate the prevalence, identify the determinants...." The association of probable Determinants were not assessed in this study (but the prevalence of the potential determinants). Consider properly phrasing this, and correct methodology as required.  Some better phrasing can be done as mentioned in the review comments in the file.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article needs certain clarification to be robust. However, the methodology does not have many flaws, the flaws require attention and necessary correction. Please find the attached file.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. But there are some areas for improvement. Please follow the comments provided in the file.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manoj Ghosh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Manipal Academy of Higher Education, India</b>