

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_122380
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Corporate Social Environmental Responsibility, Top Management Commitment, and Organizational Culture on Environmental Performance: The Mediation of Green Information Technology Capital in the Mining Industry
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article touched good points but not properly constructed and having my errors. It was stated that article prepared with quantitative analysis but names of selected companies not mentioned.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No (very lengthy) A study on influence of select variables on Mediation of Green Information Technology Capital in Mining Industry	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract not representing the contents of the article. He should explain the gist of the total article in simple and understandable manner. Please rewrite the abstract comprehensively.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Proper justification for the hypothesis should be made by the author. There are 10 hypothesis statements and it needs explanation with proper evidence.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate (Need to be Improved)	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ch.V. Rama Krishna Rao
Department, University & Country	India